Evidence That Sleep Deprivation Downregulates Dopamine D2R in Ventral Striatum in the Human Brain
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Introduction

There is increasing evidence that dopamine (DA) modulates wakefulness exerting a wake promoting action. Indeed, drugs that enhance DA signaling through DA transporter (DAT) blockade [methylphenidate (MP), modafinil] or by releasing DA (amphetamine) increase wakefulness in human subjects (Kilgore et al., 2008), promote wakefulness in normal and narcoleptic animals (Nishino et al., 1998), and actively induce emergence from anesthesia (Solt et al., 2011). Similarly, mice with a deletion of the DAT gene, which results in enhanced DA neurotransmission, display increased wakefulness (Wisor et al., 2001), whereas patients with Parkinson’s disease, who suffer from DA depletion, experience excessive daytime sleepiness (Arnulf et al., 2002). The wake-promoting effects of DA appear to be mediated in part through DA D2 receptors (D2Rs) (Qu et al., 2010). In fact, antipsychotic drugs that block D2Rs are sedating in humans (Baldessarini, 1990) and decrease wakefulness in laboratory animals (Ongini et al., 1993). Similarly, D2R knock-out (KO) mice show decreased wakefulness and an attenuated response to the wake-promoting effects of the DAT blocker GBR12909 (Qu et al., 2010). Moreover, recent studies in flies document an involvement of D2R in DA-induced arousal during the dark but not the light period (Shang et al., 2011).

Using positron emission tomography (PET), we previously showed that sleep deprivation (SD) in healthy controls decreased the specific binding of [11C]raclopride (a radiotracer that binds to D2 and D3 receptors when these are not bound to DA) in striatum (Volkow et al., 2008). Thus, we interpreted our findings to reflect increased DA release during SD. However, we could not rule out the possibility that the results reflected downregulation of D2/D3R and/or reduced receptor affinity. Here we test this possibility by comparing the dopamine increases induced by methylphenidate (measured as decreases in D2/D3 receptor binding) or receptor downregulation. To clarify this, we compared the dopamine increases induced by methylphenidate (a drug that increases dopamine by blocking dopamine transporters) during sleep deprivation versus rested sleep, with the assumption that methylphenidate’s effects would be greater if, indeed, dopamine release was increased during sleep deprivation. We scanned 20 controls with [11C]raclopride after rested sleep and after 1 night of sleep deprivation; both after placebo and after methylphenidate. We corroborated a decrease in D2/D3 receptor availability in the ventral striatum with sleep deprivation (compared with rested sleep) that was associated with increased alertness and increased sleepiness. However, the dopamine increases induced by methylphenidate (measured as decreases in D2/D3 receptor availability compared with placebo) did not differ between rested sleep and sleep deprivation, and were associated with the increased alertness and reduced sleepiness when methylphenidate was administered after sleep deprivation. Similar findings were obtained by microdialysis in rodents subjected to 1 night of paradoxical sleep deprivation. These findings are consistent with a downregulation of D2/D3 receptors in ventral striatum with sleep deprivation that may contribute to the associated decreased wakefulness and also corroborate an enhancement of D2 receptor signaling in the arousing effects of methylphenidate in humans.
previously validated the use of $[^{11}]C$raclopride to measure DA increases induced by MP in the human brain (Volkow et al., 1994, 2001; Wang et al., 1999) and the use of MP (by blocking DA receptors) as a strategy to enhance DA signals resulting from DA release (Volkow et al., 2002b).

For this purpose, we tested 20 healthy controls with PET and $[^{11}]C$raclopride during RW and during SD both with placebo and with MP (40 mg, p.o.). Our initial hypothesis was that decreases in D2/D3R availability seen after SD reflect increases in DA release, and thus MP-induced increases in DA would be enhanced during SD compared with RW. In parallel, we conducted microdialysis studies in rodents to compare the extracellular concentration of DA in nucleus accumbens (NAc; located in ventral striatum) of sleep-deprived animals with those of control rats before and after MP (1 mg/kg, i.v.).

### Materials and Methods

**Subjects.** Twenty healthy, nonsmoking, right-handed males (32.5 ± 9 years of age; 14 ± 2 years of education; body mass index, 26 ± 3; African Americans, 8 Caucasians, 3 other) participated in the study. Participants were screened carefully with a detailed medical history, physical and neurological examinations, EKG, breath CO, routine blood tests and urinalysis, and urine toxicology for psychotropic drugs to ensure they fulfilled inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) ability to understand and give informed consent; and (2) 18–50 years of age. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) urine positive for psychotropic drugs; (2) present use of or history of dependence on alcohol or other drugs of abuse (including current dependence on nicotine); (3) present use of or history of neurological or psychiatric disorders; (4) use of psychoactive medications in the past month (e.g., opiate analgesics, stimulants, sedatives); (5) use of prescription (nonpsychiatric) medications (i.e., antihistamines); (6) medical conditions that may alter cerebral function; (7) cardiovascular and metabolic diseases; (8) history of head trauma with loss of consciousness of >30 min; (9) history of sleep disorders (if they responded affirmatively to having problems falling asleep, staying asleep, feeling tired upon waking, and/or required medications to help them sleep and/or if they had a history of or present experience of sleep apnea or restless leg syndrome); and (10) work that required shift work. Subjects were asked to keep a diary of the number of hours slept per night for the 2 week duration of the study (from evaluation to completion of the PET scans), and this corresponded to an average of 7 ± 1 h per night (range 5–9 h per night). Signed informed consents were obtained from the subjects before participation, as approved by the Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects, Stony Brook University, and the Radioactive Drug Research Committee, Brookhaven National Laboratory.

**Behavioral measures.** Subjects were asked to rate self-reports for descriptors of “alert,” “sleepiness,” and “tired,” on a scale of 1–10 with 1 being not at all and 10 being very intense. Self-reports were obtained at 30, 60, 90 min after placebo or MP administration. Self-reports of “tired” were strongly correlated with descriptors of “alertness,” so we did not report correlations for this descriptor. For analysis purposes, we averaged the values for the placebo condition and used the values obtained at 90 min after MP, which is when the peak MP plasma concentration occurred.

**SD and rested wakefulness (non-sleep deprivation) procedures.** Subjects were kept overnight at Brookhaven National Laboratory before their scheduled SD or RW session to ensure that subjects stayed awake for the SD session (1 night of sleep deprivation) or had a good night rest for the RW session (mean duration of sleep, 7 ± 1 h). A researcher assistant remained with them throughout the night to ensure that they stayed awake throughout the study. No food was given after midnight, and caffeinated beverages were discontinued for 24 h before the study.

---

### Imaging

PET studies were done with a Siemens HR+ tomograph (resolution 4.5 × 4.5 × 4.5 mm full-width at half-maximum). Each subject served as his own control and was tested on 2 separate days; 1 d after RW and another after 1 night of SD. The order of the sessions (RW or SD) was randomized to control for order effects. On each day, subjects underwent two sets of scans: the first scan was performed after placebo, and the second scan was performed 2 h later and 60 min after MP administration (40 mg, p.o.). The placebo scans were performed between 10:00 A.M. and 11:00 AM, and the MP scans between 12:00 P.M. and 1:00 P.M.; ~3–4 h (placebo) and 5–6 h (MP) after awakening for the RW session, and 27–28 h (placebo) and 29–30 h (MP) after awakening for the SD session. Sequential dynamic scans were started immediately after intravenous injection of 4–10 mCi of $[^{11}]C$raclopride (specific activity, >0.25 Ci/μmol at time of injection) for a total of 60 min as previously described (Volkow et al., 1994). The doses of $[^{11}]C$raclopride injected did not differ among the four different conditions.

To ensure that subjects would not fall asleep during the study, they were asked to keep their eyes open, and a nurse remained by their side to ensure compliance. If the subjects closed their eyes, the nurse would ask them to open them again.

**Image analysis and statistics.** Regions of interest (ROIs) were obtained directly from the $[^{11}]C$raclopride images as previously described (Volkow et al., 1994, 1995). Briefly, we identified and selected the ROI on summed images (dynamic images taken from 10 to 54 min) that were resliced along the intercommissural plane (anterior commissure–posterior commissure line). The ROI is based on a template that uses geometrical shapes of the same size across subjects and conditions. For the caudate, putamen, ventral striatum (VS), and cerebellum, the ROIs were extracted on 4, 3, 1, and 2 planes, respectively, and right and left regions were delineated. These regions were then projected to the dynamic scans to obtain concentrations of C-11 vs time, which were used to calculate the distribution volumes using a graphical analysis technique for reversible systems that does not require arterial blood sampling (Logan et al., 1996).

We computed the ratio of the distribution volume in striatal regions to that in the cerebellum to obtain the nondisplicable binding potential (BP$_{ND}$), which was used as a quantification of D2/D3R availability.

Differences on the BP$_{ND}$ between RW and SD were tested with repeated ANOVAs (left and right regions were averaged into one measure). Pearson product moment correlations were used to assess the association between the changes in BP$_{ND}$ (SD − RW/RW × 100) and the changes in the behavioral measures (SD − RW). To test the main hypotheses of the
study, namely, that SD would decrease BPND and that these differences would be associated with increased sleepiness and reduced alertness, and that MP would reduce BPND to a greater extent in SD than for RW, we set significance at $p < 0.05$.

We also analyzed the BPND images using statistical parametric mapping (SPM), which enabled us to make comparisons on a voxel-by-voxel basis (Friston et al., 1995). Repeated ANOVAs were performed to compare the RW and SD sessions (both for placebo and MP), to assess the effects of MP on BPND (both for RW and SD), and to compare whether MP-induced changes in BPND differed for the RW and SD sessions. Significance was set at $p < 0.005$ uncorrected, a cluster threshold of $>100$ voxels. Since age affects D2/D3R availability, we also assessed the correlation analysis among age, the changes in BPND with SD, and the changes with MP.

**Microdialysis experiments.** Male, Sprague Dawley rats (8 weeks old) kept on a reverse light cycle were divided into two groups: one to assess the effects of SD ($n = 6$), and the other to serve as controls ($n = 6$). Rats in the SD group underwent paradoxical sleep deprivation for 16 h (5:00 P.M. to 9:00 A.M.) using the single platform-on-water method (“flower pot”) (Machado et al., 2004; Kitka et al., 2009), whereas control rats were left overnight in a similar apparatus and setup but were placed on a larger platform (10 cm diameter) that allowed them to sleep. Microdialysis measurements in the nucleus accumbens (core) were then obtained from 10:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M., and samples were obtained every 20 min as previously described (Schiffer et al., 2003). At noon, animals were intravenously injected with MP (1 mg) via a jugular vein catheter. Procedures for anesthesia and jugular vein catheterization were as previously published (Thanos et al., 2011). We averaged the measures obtained before MP administration to compare “baseline measures” between paradoxical sleep-deprived and control rats with $t$ tests (two tailed). We computed the percentage change from this “baseline measure” and compared the peak DA increases induced by MP ($20–40$) between paradoxical sleep-deprived and control rats with $t$ tests (two tailed). Experiments were conducted by a facility accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care under the oversight of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Brookhaven National Laboratory.

**Results**

**Behavioral effects of SD with or without MP and plasma levels**

Self-reports of alertness were significantly reduced and those of sleepiness were increased after SD (Table 1). MP significantly increased alertness and reduced sleepiness when given after SD, but not when given after RW (Table 1).

The concentration of MP in plasma did not differ between the RW and the SD sessions, neither for the 60 min ($6.2 \pm 7$ and $5.1 \pm$...
4 ng/ml; respectively) nor the 90 min plasma measures (9.8 ± 8 and 9.9 ± 8 ng/ml; respectively).

**Effects of SD on D2/D3R availability**
The ROI analysis showed that D2/D3R availability was significantly lower in the VS in SD than in RW, but it did not differ in caudate or putamen (Table 2). The SPM analysis (RW > SD) corroborated the decreases in D2/D3R during SD in VS but also revealed decreases in clusters that included regions in caudate and putamen (Fig. 1; Table 3).

**Effects of MP on D2/D3R availability**
The ROI analysis revealed that MP decreased D2/D3R in striatal regions both for the RW and the SD conditions. Moreover, the magnitude of these changes did not differ between the RW and the SD conditions (Table 2). Specifically, the decrease in D2/D3R availability for RW and SD, respectively, were as follows: caudate, 4.9 ± 11% and 4.6 ± 11%; putamen, 8.8 ± 11% and 7.8 ± 10%; and VS, 7.1 ± 6% and 9.5 ± 12%. SPM corroborated that MP-induced significant decreases in D2/D3R availability in striatum both for the RW and the SD conditions, and also failed to reveal significant differences in MP-induced changes between the RW and the SD sessions (Fig. 2; Table 3). Note that neither the SPM comparison of MP-induced DA changes for SD > RW or for RW > SD were significant.

**Correlation between changes in D2/D3R and self-reports and effects of age**
The decreases in D2/D3R with SD in VS (percentage change from RW) were correlated with the decreases in self-reports of alertness ($r = 0.44, p = 0.05$) and with the increases in sleepiness ($r = 0.50, p < 0.03$) observed during SD (Fig. 3A).

The pattern of correlations between MP-induced decreases in D2/D3R availability (percentage change from placebo) and the changes in self-reports observed with MP during SD were in the opposite direction to those observed for the changes between RW and SD (for the placebo measures as reported in the paragraph above). Specifically, MP-induced decreases in D2/D3R availability were associated with increases in self-reports of alertness in caudate ($r = -0.77, p < 0.0001$) and putamen ($r = -0.50, p < 0.03$), and with decreases in sleepiness in caudate ($r = -0.52, p < 0.02$) and putamen ($r = -0.74, p < 0.001$) (Fig. 3B).

Aging was negatively correlated with the baseline measures of D2/D3R availability for RW (putamen, $r = 0.52, p < 0.05$; VS, $r = 0.64, p < 0.005$), but not for SD. However, neither the correlation between aging and the effects of SD on D2/D3R availability nor the correlation between aging and the effects of MP on D2/D3R availability were significant (data not shown).

**Microdialysis experiments in rats**
The DA measures in NAc taken after 1 night of paradoxical sleep deprivation before MP (baseline measures averaged over 2 h) did...
not differ between the paradoxical sleep-deprived rats and the control rats ($p = 0.63$). The comparisons of the DA increases induced by MP (percentage change from baseline measures) did not differ between the group of paradoxical sleep-deprived rats (128% ± 48) and the controls (132% ± 31) (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

Here we replicate our prior findings of a reduction in D2/D3R availability in ventral striatum with SD that was associated with reduced alertness. However, we did not find the differences in MP-induced changes in striatal D2/D3 availability between RW and SD that we had initially hypothesized. This leads us to question our prior interpretation that the reductions in D2/D3R availability with SD reflected DA increases (competing for binding with $[^{11}C]$raclopride) since such increases would have triggered larger DA increases with MP during SD than during RW. This is because MP blocks the DAT, interfering with the reuptake of DA into the terminal; thus, the DA increases for an equivalent level of DAT blockade reflect the amount of DA released (Volkow et al., 2002a). Moreover, our microdialysis results also showed no increases in DA after 1 night of paradoxical sleep deprivation and no differences in MP-induced DA in NAc between paradoxical sleep-deprived and control rats. Thus, based on these findings we now interpret the reduction in D2/D3 availability in VS with SD as a reflection D2/D3R downregulation.

Indeed, the opposite pattern of the correlations observed between the decreases in D2/D3R and self-reports with SD (associated with reduced alertness and increased sleepiness), than with MP (associated with increased alertness and reduced sleepiness), suggests that they reflect different physiological processes mediating the D2/D3R decreases with SD (D2/D3R downregulation) than with MP (DA increases). MP is known to increase DA in striatum (Kuczenski and Segal, 1997; Huff and Davies, 2002; Volkow et al., 2005), an effect that is consistent with our imaging and microdialysis results. However, there is no reported preclinical evidence of DA increases in striatum following SD, and our microdialysis studies failed to show any DA increases. Downregulation of D2/D3R by SD could explain why reductions in D2/D3R availability were associated with reduced alertness since this would result in reduced D2R signaling. Evidence of the role of D2R signaling in the arousing effects of DA is shown by the decreased wakefulness (Qu et al., 2010) and the insensitivity to the wake-enhancing effects of modafinil observed in D2R knockout mice (Qu et al., 2008). In contrast, the DA increases induced by MP would help counteract the decreased signaling from D2/D3R downregulation, thereby increasing wakefulness during SD.

The internalization of DA receptors allows neurons to readjust their excitability (von Zastrow, 2003; Iizuka et al., 2007) and is necessary for ensuring accurate neurotransmission (Gainetdinov et al., 2004). In fact, experiments show that with prolonged DA stimulation the D2Rs downregulate (Bartlett et al., 2005), and in flies D2R downregulation underlies the light’s suppression of the wake-promoting effects of DA (Shang et al., 2011), corroborating a role of D2R internalization in modulating wakefulness. PET studies in rodents have given evidence that D2/D3R internalization might explain why amphetamine-induced decreases in striatal $[^{11}C]$raclopride binding are more protracted than the amphetamine-induced DA increases (Sun et al., 2003; Ginovart et al., 2004). Specifically, in mice lacking β-arrestin-2 (arrestin3), which is necessary for D2R internalization (Skinbjerg et al., 2009), the decreases in striatal binding of a D2R agonist PET ligand ($[^{11}C]$MNPA) or a D2R antagonist ($[^{18}F]$allypride) induced by amphetamine recovered 4 h after administration, whereas the levels remained decreased in wild-type mice (Skinbjerg et al., 2010).

Adenosine, through A$_3$A receptors, regulates D2R internalization (Hillion et al., 2002), apparently by facilitating the binding of
β-arrestin-2 to the A2A-D2 receptor heteromer (Borrotó-Escuela et al., 2011). This is noteworthy since increases in extracellular adenosine levels drive the pressure to sleep with SD (Porkki-Harjula et al., 1997), which is partly mediated through A2A receptors (Hayaishi et al., 2004). Indeed, the adenosine receptor antagonist caffeine increases wakefulness (Biagioni et al., 1991; Schwierin et al., 1996) via its A2A antagonist effects (Huang et al., 2005) in the NAc (Lazarus et al., 2011). Interestingly, in healthy controls, caffeine was shown to increase D2/D3R availability in VS (measured with PET and [11C]raclopride), an effect that was associated with decreased tiredness (Kaasinen et al., 2004). Note that this association is akin to our findings with SD, for which the opposite effect on D2/D3R in VS (decrease) was associated with the opposite effects in tiredness (increased sleepiness). Since caffeine modulates DA signaling in part by its antagonism of A2A receptors (Ferre et al., 2008), caffeine-induced D2/D3R increases in VS would be consistent with caffeine’s antagonism of A2A-mediated D2R internalization. Indeed, A2A receptor knock-out mice show increased D2R levels in striatum (Dassesse et al., 2001). However A1 receptors are also involved in the effects of SD (Thakkar et al., 2003), and upregulation of A1 receptors has been documented during SD both in humans and rodents (Elmenhorst et al., 2007, 2009).

The downregulation of D2/D3R in VS under SD conditions, in addition to contributing to reduced wakefulness, could also affect other behaviors. Specifically, DA stimulation of D2/D3R in VS is implicated in attention (Volkow et al., 2012), and thus D2/D3R downregulation could contribute to the inattentiveness observed with SD (Durmer and Dinges, 2005; Tomasi et al., 2009) and explain our prior findings of an association between decreases in D2/D3R availability and impairments in visual attention with SD (Volkow et al., 2009). Also D2R in VS modulate risk-taking propensity (Linnet et al., 2011), and thus D2/D3R reductions with SD could facilitate the engagement in risky behaviors characteristic of SD (McKenna et al., 2007). Finally, lower D2R in VS is associated with a greater risk for compulsive drug consumption (Dalley et al., 2007) and could contribute to relapse in sleep-deprived substance abusers (Gillin, 1998).

Here, we interpret the reductions in [11C]raclopride binding in VS with SD as reflecting a downregulation of D2/D3R, but they could also reflect decreases in receptor affinity. However, this is unlikely since a decrease in D2/D3R affinity would have led to a reduced decrease in BPND with MP during the SD session, which we did not observe.

Our results differ from those from a recent study in rodents that reported that paradoxical sleep deprivation was associated with increases in D3R but no changes in D2R binding in striatum (Lim et al., 2011), which is distinct from our finding of reductions in D2/D3R with SD. The reasons for this discrepancy are unclear but could reflect differences between the paradoxical SD (rats) and the total SD (humans) models. Interestingly, in our results the findings we obtained with total SD in the clinical PET studies were corroborated by our microdialysis studies in the rat with paradoxical SD. A further limitation for our clinical studies was that they could not separately assess the effects of SD on D2R versus D3R binding since raclopride binds to both. Future studies using PET ligands that only bind to D2R or D3R are needed to address this.

A confound for our clinical studies is the potential influence that the mental state can have on an individual’s baseline measures of BPND (Yoder et al., 2008) and on the magnitude of MP-induced DA changes (Volkow et al., 1994). Also, we did not record how many times during the scans the nurse had to remind subjects to keep their eyes open, and thus we cannot evaluate whether these interactions affected the BPND measures.

This study corroborates a reduction in D2/D3R availability with SD in VS that was associated with reduced alertness. In contrast, MP-induced decreases in striatal D2/D3R availability (secondary to DA increases), which did not differ between RW and SD, were associated with increased alertness. This suggests that the reductions in D2/D3R induced by SD reflect a different physiological mechanism (downregulation of D2/D3R) than those mediating MP effects (increased DA). We also provide evidence that in the human brain under conditions of SD the increases in DA triggered by MP are associated with its alerting and awakening effects.
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