Sex differences in striatal dopamine D₂/D₃ receptor availability in smokers and non-smokers





Amira K. Brown^{1,2}, Mark A. Mandelkern^{2,3}, Judah Farahi², Chelsea Robertson⁴, Dara G. Ghahremani¹, Brittany Sumerel¹, Nathasha Moallem¹ and Edythe D. London^{1,4,5}

¹ Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA

² Veterans Administration Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, CA, USA

³ Department of Physics, UC Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

⁴ Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA

⁵ Brain Research Institute, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Abstract

In previous research, nicotine-dependent men exhibited lower putamen D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability than non-smokers (Fehr *et al.* 2008), but parallel assessments were not performed in women. Women and men (19 light smokers, 18 non-smokers) were tested for differences due to sex and smoking in striatal D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability, using positron emission tomography with [¹⁸F]fallypride. Receptor availability was determined using a reference region method, in striatal volumes and in wholebrain, voxel-wise analysis. Significant sex × smoking interactions were observed in the caudate nuclei and putamen. *Post-hoc t* tests showed that male smokers had significantly lower D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability than female smokers (-17% caudate, -21% putamen) and male non-smokers (-15%caudate, -16% putamen). Female smokers did not differ from non-smokers. Whole-brain analysis demonstrated no statistically significant voxels or clusters. These results suggest that low receptor availability may confer vulnerability to nicotine dependence or that smoking selectively affects D_2/D_3 receptor down-regulation in men but not women.

Received 7 June 2011; Reviewed 28 July 2011; Revised 18 November 2011; Accepted 12 December 2011; First published online 16 January 2012

Key words: D₂ receptors, dopamine, [¹⁸F]fallypride, nicotine dependence, PET, sex differences.

Introduction

Pre-clinical studies have demonstrated sex differences in the brain after chronic nicotine exposure (Pogun & Yararbas, 2009). For example, after repeated i.v. nicotine administration, sex-related differences in nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) and in the dopamine transporter were observed in rats. Male but not female rats showed increased nAChR density in the cortex and hippocampus (Koylu *et al.* 1997) and female but not male rats showed increased dopaminetransporter density in the nucleus accumbens (Harrod *et al.* 2004). Possibly related is the fact that activation of pre-synaptic nAChRs by nicotine stimulates the

Address for correspondence : E. D. London, Ph.D., UCLA Semel Institute of Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Sciences, 760 Westwood Plaza, Rm. C8-831, Los Angeles, CA 90045, USA. *Tel*. : (310)825-0606 *Fax* : 310-825-0812

Email: elondon@mednet.ucla.edu

release of dopamine from mesolimbic dopamine neurons, an effect that may mediate the rewarding effects of nicotine (Paterson & Nordberg, 2000).

In human studies, women and men differ in several features of nicotine dependence. Women become addicted to nicotine faster, make fewer attempts to quit, remain abstinent for shorter periods, relapse more often than men, are more sensitive to smoking cues, have less success in quitting (Pogun & Yararbas, 2009) and suffer from worse negative mood symptoms and cravings following acute abstinence (Xu *et al.* 2008). Male smokers also have lower dopamine-transporter availability in the striatum when compared to male non-smokers (Yang *et al.* 2008).

Differences between the sexes in the dopamine system may provide insight regarding these sexrelated differences associated with smoking. For example, women have a higher dopamine-synthesis rate than men in the striatum (Laakso *et al.* 2002), greater dopamine-transporter availability in the striatum and diencephalon (Staley *et al.* 2001) and greater dopamine release in the globus pallidus and cortex following D-amphetamine challenge (Riccardi *et al.* 2008). Previous studies, however, have not examined sex differences in dopamine biomarkers *in vivo* as related to smoking.

Motivated by previously published findings that showed lower dopamine-receptor availability in male smokers when compared to male non-smokers (Fehr *et al.* 2008), we used positron emission tomography (PET) and [¹⁸F]fallypride to examine the effects of smoking status on striatal D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability in men and women who were daily smokers and in a comparison non-smoker group.

Method and materials

Participants

This study was approved by the University of California, Los Angeles Office of the Human Research Protection Program. Participants were between the ages of 19 and 50 yr and were recruited from the Greater Los Angeles community using newspaper advertisements. After a thorough explanation of the study, the participants provided written informed consent and then completed a Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV, a medical history and a physical examination. Laboratory tests on blood and urine samples were performed to screen for pregnancy and other factors related to eligibility. Participants were excluded for any history of an Axis I psychiatric diagnosis (other than nicotine dependence in smokers) and for current use of any medication or a current or past medical condition that could affect the central nervous system. Nineteen individuals who smoked 4-14 cigarettes/d [10 men 36.6 (8.2); nine women 36.4 (8.6)] and 18 age-matched non-smokers [nine men 37.0 (8.6); nine women 31.6 (9.7)] completed the study. Values are given as mean age (S.D.). All of the 18 female participants were premenopausal and five of them reported using oral contraceptives.

PET scanning

[¹⁸F]Fallypride (a high-affinity D_2/D_3 dopaminereceptor radioligand) was synthesized in the Cyclotron Facility of the Greater Los Angeles Veterans Affairs Healthcare System as previously reported (Mukherjee *et al.* 1999; Riccardi *et al.* 2008) and was used with PET to measure D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability in the caudate nucleus and putamen of participants in the two groups. Each smoker was studied about 1 h after he or she smoked a cigarette.

Scans were acquired on an EXACT HR+PET Scanner (Siemens, Germany) in 3D mode with an inplane resolution full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) 4.6 mm, axial resolution FWHM of 3.5 mm and an axial field of view of 15.52 cm. The participants were placed in the supine position with the brain centred in the transaxial field of view. A 7-min transmission scan was acquired before each emission scanning session using a rotating ⁶⁸Ge/⁶⁸Ga rod source to measure and correct for attenuation. PET dynamic data acquisition was initiated with a bolus injection of the radiotracer (~ $5 \text{ mCi} \pm 5\%$, specific activity $\geq 1 \operatorname{Ci}/\mu \operatorname{mol}$) and emission data were acquired in two 80-min scanning sessions, separated by a 20-min break. The specific activities for each group were as follows: males (non-smokers 3.63 ± 3.46 Ci/ μ mol; smokers $8.69 \pm 5.94 \text{ Ci}/\mu \text{mol}$; females (non-smokers 5.08 ± 3.65 Ci/µmol; smokers 5.75 ± 4.36 Ci/µmol).

PET image processing

Data were reconstructed using ECAT v7.3 Ordered Subset Expectation Maximization (OSEM; Hudson & Larkin, 1994), chosen for its superior resolution and noise properties compared to filtered back projection. Reconstructed PET images were combined into 16 images, each representing an average of 10-min dynamic frames of data. These 16 images were motion-corrected using FSL McFLIRT (Jenkinson *et al.* 2002). After motion-correction, PET images were coregistered to each participant's structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) using a six-parameter rigidbody linear transformation computed using the Automated Registration Tool (ART) software package (Ardekani *et al.* 1995).

Binding potential (BP_{ND}) determinations were made in the PMOD kinetic-analysis program (version 3.1; PMOD Technologies Ltd, Switzerland) using the SRTM2 model (Wu & Carson, 2002). We first estimated k_2' , the rate parameter for transfer of the tracer from the reference tissue to the plasma. The caudate nucleus and putamen were defined as volumes of interest (VOIs) using an automated segmentation program (FSL FIRST; Oxford University, UK) that provides a 3D binary mask for these regions in native space (Fig. 1). Reference VOIs were drawn on the cerebellar hemispheres (left and right, excluding the vermis); the cerebellum was used as the reference tissue due to its low D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability relative to the striatum (Mukherjee *et al.* 2002).

Time-activity curves for these VOIs (and for every image voxel) were extracted from motion-corrected, co-registered PET images within PMOD. These



Fig. 1. Corresponding magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) scans together with the fused image (co-registered PET to MRI). The volume-of-interest (VOI) mask, created in FSL FIRST, shows caudate and putamen.

were fit using the simplified reference tissue model (SRTM; Lammertsma & Hume, 1996) to provide a whole-brain estimate of k_2' , taken as a volume-weighted average, which was used for both the VOI and voxel-wise analyses. The VOI and voxel-wise time-activity curves were fit identically using the SRTM2 model (Wu & Carson, 2002) holding k_2' fixed, in PKIN and PXMOD respectively, yielding striatal BP_{ND} measurements and voxel-wise maps.

 BP_{ND} was calculated as $BP_{ND} = R_1 * k_2'/k_{2a} - 1$, where $R_1 = K_1/K_1'$, the ratio of tracer-delivery parameters for the tissue of interest and reference tissue, and k_{2a} is the effective rate parameter for transfer of tracer from the tissue of interest to the plasma. The voxel-wise maps were registered into standard space (i.e. Montreal Neurological Institute avg152 template) using non-linear registration procedures (Ardekani *et al.* 2005) prior to statistical analysis using Statistical Parametric Mapping 8.

MRI scanning

Each participant received a 1.5T T1-weighted 3D volumetric MRI scan (Siemens Sonata) for co-registration with the PET scan to identify the VOIs. A whole-brain magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence was used with the following parameters: slice thickness = 1 mm; 160 slices, TR = 1900 ms; TE = 4.38 ms; flip angle = 15; field of view = 256 mm.

Clinical measures

Participants were administered the Fagerström Test of Nicotine Dependence [FTND (smokers only); Heatherton *et al.* 1991], the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI; Spielberger & Vagg, 1984) and the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI; Steer *et al.* 1999).

Data analysis

Group differences in age, education and clinical measures were tested by independent-samples t test. Multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA), using age as a covariate, was used to assess the effects of sex, smoking status and the interaction between them on mean BP_{ND} in the caudate and putamen. *Posthoc* two-way analyses of variance and t tests were performed separately to assess sex differences in BP_{ND} in the smoker and non-smoker groups.

Results

There were no significant differences in age, education or scores on the BDI or STAI among the four groups (male and female smokers and non-smokers; Supplementary Table S1). There were no significant differences in specific activity, injected mass or fallypride dose among the four groups. Among the smokers, there were no significant sex differences in exposure to smoking, measured in pack years [values

992 A. K. Brown et al.

Table 1. (*a*) Two-way multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) showed a significant sex × smoking status interaction and two-way analyses of covariance (ANCOVAs) for data of both the caudate and putamen showed significant sex × smoking status interactions; (*b*) *t* tests showed significant effects of sex in the smoking group and smoking status in male smokers and non-smokers, in both caudate and putamen

(a)

Region	Non-smokers (mean <u>+</u> s.D.)		Smokers (mean±s.D.)	
	F (n=9)	M (n=9)	F (n=9)	M (n=10)
Caudate	17.7±3.6	18.0 ± 3.3	18.3 ± 3.3	14.9 ± 1.9
Putamen	20.9 ± 4.0	21.3 ± 3.4	22.8 ± 3.6	18.0 ± 2.6
	F	р		
MANCOVA (Wilks' λ)				
Sex	2.36	0.11		
Smoking status	2.62	0.09		
Sex × smoking interaction	4.24	0.02		
2-way ANCOVA				
Putamen				
Sex	2.84	0.1		
Smoking status	0.01	0.94		
Sex × smoking interaction	7.93	0.01		
Caudate				
Sex	1.41	0.24		
Smoking status	0.59	0.45		
Sex × smoking interaction	5.86	0.02		
(b)				
t tests	t	р		
Non-smokers M/F				
Caudate	-0.18	0.86		
Putamen	-0.2	0.84		
Smokers M/F				
Caudate	2.86	0.01		
Putamen	3.39	0		
Smokers vs. non-smokers F/F				
Caudate	-0.39	0.7		
Putamen	-1.05	0.31		
Smokers v_{S} non-smokers M/N	_			
ontokero co. non ontokero wij n	Λ			
Caudate	4 2.59	0.02		

M, Male; F, female.

Bold values meet statistical significance of p < 0.05.

are mean (s.D.)] [men: 240 (135); women: 250 (120)], nicotine dependence, measured on the FTND [men: 3.3 (1.5); women: 3.3 (1.5)]; and cigarettes smoked per day [men: 13 (7.4); women: 13 (6.8)].

A two-way MANCOVA (using age as a covariate) showed a significant sex × smoking interaction (Table 1*a*). Separate two-way ANCOVAs for data from the caudate and putamen VOIs showed significant sex × smoking interactions in both regions. There were

no significant main effects of smoking status or sex. *Post-hoc* tests showed significant differences, with male smokers having significantly lower D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability than female smokers (-17 and -21%, caudate and putamen, respectively) and male non-smokers (-15 and -16%) (Table 1*a*, *b*). Female smokers did not differ significantly from non-smokers. Among non-smokers, women and men did not differ significantly in D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability.

Voxel-wise analysis on BP_{ND} maps showed clusters in the left temporal lobe for the contrast male nonsmokers > male smokers. The analysis indicated significance at the set level (clusters = 11; p = 0.002), indicating that the number of clusters in the entire brain that passed the threshold of extent (>33.05 contiguous voxels that exceeded an uncorrected height threshold of p < 0.01) exceeded the mean number (3.95) expected to occur by chance. Neither an individual voxel nor an individual cluster was significant.

Discussion

This study investigated sex differences and D_2/D_3 receptor availability in light smokers and non-smokers using PET and [¹⁸F]fallypride. Men who are moderately nicotine-dependent, as determined by FTND scores, had significantly lower D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability in the caudate and putamen when compared to female smokers and male non-smokers. To our knowledge, this is the first report of sex differences in D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability in smokers.

Sex differences have been observed in the dopamine dynamics of healthy volunteers, with women having a higher dopamine synthesis rate in the striatum (Laakso et al. 2002) and significantly greater dopamine release in the cortex following an amphetamine challenge, when compared to men (Riccardi et al. 2008). Our findings suggest that these sex-related differences in dopamine-system function may mediate the response of the brain to nicotine. As women generally have higher striatal dopamine-transporter availability than men (Staley et al. 2001), the absence of evidence for lower D₂/D₃ dopamine-receptor availability associated with smoking in women may reflect more rapid removal of dopamine from the synapse in female than male smokers. However, as nicotine and cotinine metabolism is faster in women than in men (Benowitz et al. 2006), sex-related differences in nicotine clearance could affect the smoking × dopamine system interaction.

As reported in a previous study (Fehr *et al.* 2008), male smokers in our study had significantly lower D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability in the striatum than male non-smokers. This finding may reflect down-regulation of D_2/D_3 dopamine receptors in male smokers as a result of chronic nicotine exposure. Our findings do not rule out a difference in D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability that may be present in male smokers before the initiation of smoking. The results may reflect a greater vulnerability of men with lower striatal D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability to become nicotine dependent. Our findings in the left temporal lobe were weak and may serve as a planned comparison for a future study.

Some limitations of the study should be considered. We included only light to moderate smokers who smoked 4-14 cigarettes/d, whereas many of the previous published studies examined males who were highly nicotine dependent and smoked >15 cigarettes/d. The study did not allow differentiation between differences in D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor density or in intrasynaptic dopamine concentration as underlying factors for the significant differences we found in D₂/D₃ dopamine-receptor availability between the groups. Our sample sizes were small, which limited our ability to find significant differences in D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability in extrastriatal regions between the groups, as was previously shown (Kobiella et al. 2010). We also did not control for phases of the menstrual cycle in our female participants. A previous study reported a 12% increase in D_2/D_3 dopamine-receptor availability in the caudate nucleus and putamen during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle than in the follicular phase in cynomolgus monkeys, demonstrating the need to control for menstrual phase-related variations on PET measures (Czoty et al. 2009). Additional studies are, therefore, warranted to explore this finding of sex-related differences in dopamine receptors in smokers.

Note

Supplementary material accompanies this paper on the Journal's website (http://journals.cambridge.org/ pnp).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by NIH grants R01 DA020726, R01 DA015179 and P20 DA022539. Additional funding was provided by endowments from the Thomas P. and Katherine K. Pike Chair in Addiction Studies and the Marjorie Green Family Trust. The authors thank Dr Buyean Lee and Shruthi Chakrapani for data acquisition, Ms Julia Keighley for assisting with data organization and Dr Babak Ardekani for technical assistance with the ART image registration software library.

Statement of Interest

Dr Edythe London has tobacco-related research other than that reported here, supported by a contract between Philip Morris USA and UCLA. The authors have no other potential conflicts of interest.

References

Ardekani BA, Ardekani S, Guckemus A, Bachman MJ, et al. (2005). Quantitative comparison of algorithms for intersubject registration of 3D volumetric brain MRI scans. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* **142**, 67–76.

Ardekani BA, Braun M, Hutton BF, Kanno I, et al. (1995). A fully automatic multimodality image registration algorithm. *Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography* **19**, 615–623.

Benowitz NL, Lessov-Schlaggar CN, Swan GE, Jacob 3rd P (2006). Female sex and oral contraceptive use accelerate nicotine metabolism. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 79, 480–488.

Czoty PW, Riddick NV, Gage HD, Sandridge M, et al. (2009). Effect of menstrual cycle phase on dopamine D2 receptor availability in female cynomolgus monkeys. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **34**, 548–554.

Fehr C, Yakushev I, Hohmann N, Buchholz HG, et al. (2008). Association of low striatal dopamine d2 receptor availability with nicotine dependence similar to that seen with other drugs of abuse. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 165, 507–514.

Harrod SB, Mactutus CF, Bennett K, Hasselrot U, *et al.* (2004). Sex differences and repeated intravenous nicotine: behavioral sensitization and dopamine receptors. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* **78**, 581–592.

Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerstrom KO (1991). The Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence: a revision of the Fagerstrom Tolerance Questionnaire. *British Journal of Addiction* 86, 1119–1127.

Hudson HM, Larkin RS (1994). Accelerated image reconstruction using ordered subsets of projection data. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* **13**, 601–609.

Jenkinson M, Bannister PR, Brady JM, Smith SM (2002). Improved optimisation for the robust and accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images. *NeuroImage* **17**, 825–841.

Kobiella A, Vollstadt-Klein S, Buhler M, Graf C, *et al.* (2010). Human dopamine receptor D2/D3 availability predicts amygdala reactivity to unpleasant stimuli. *Human Brain Mapping* **31**, 716–726.

Koylu E, Demirgoren S, London ED, Pogun S (1997). Sex difference in up-regulation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in rat brain. *Life Sciences* **61**, PL185–PL190.

Laakso A, Vilkman H, Bergman J, Haaparanta M, et al. (2002). Sex differences in striatal presynaptic dopamine synthesis capacity in healthy subjects. *Biological Psychiatry* **52**, 759–763.

Lammertsma AA, Hume SP (1996). Simplified reference tissue model for PET receptor studies. *Neuroimage* 4(3), 153–158.

Mukherjee J, Christian BT, Dunigan KA, Shi B, et al. (2002). Brain imaging of 18F-fallypride in normal volunteers: blood analysis, distribution, test-retest studies, and preliminary assessment of sensitivity to aging effects on dopamine D-2/D-3 receptors. *Synapse* **46**, 170–188.

Mukherjee J, Yang ZY, Brown T, Lew R, et al. (1999). Preliminary assessment of extrastriatal dopamine D-2 receptor binding in the rodent and nonhuman primate brains using the high affinity radioligand, 18F-fallypride. *Nuclear Medicine and Biology* **26**, 519–527.

Paterson D, Nordberg A (2000). Neuronal nicotinic receptors in the human brain. *Progress in Neurobiology* 61, 75–111.

Pogun S, Yararbas G (2009). Sex differences in nicotine action. *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology* **192**, 261–291.

Riccardi P, Baldwin R, Salomon R, Anderson S, et al. (2008). Estimation of baseline dopamine D2 receptor occupancy in striatum and extrastriatal regions in humans with positron emission tomography with [18F] fallypride. *Biological Psychiatry* **63**, 241–244.

Spielberger CD, Vagg PR (1984). Psychometric properties of the STAI: a reply to Ramanaiah, Franzen, and Schill. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 48, 95–97.

Staley JK, Krishnan-Sarin S, Zoghbi S, Tamagnan G, et al. (2001). Sex differences in [¹²³I]beta-CIT SPECT measures of dopamine and serotonin transporter availability in healthy smokers and nonsmokers. *Synapse* **41**, 275–284.

Steer RA, Ball R, Ranieri WF, Beck AT (1999). Dimensions of the Beck Depression Inventory-II in clinically depressed outpatients. *Journal of Clinical Psychology* 55, 117–128.

Wu Y, Carson RE (2002). Noise reduction in the simplified reference tissue model for neuroreceptor functional imaging. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow Metabolism* 22, 1440–1452.

Xu J, Azizian A, Monterosso J, Domier CP, et al. (2008). Gender effects on mood and cigarette craving during early abstinence and resumption of smoking. Nicotine and Tobacco Research 10, 1653–1661.

Yang YK, Yao WJ, Yeh TL, Lee IH, *et al.* (2008). Decreased dopamine transporter availability in male smokers – a dual isotope SPECT study. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* **32**, 274–279.