

Synapse

Copy of e-mail Notification

Synapse Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Dear Author,

Your article page proof for Synapse is ready for your final content correction within our rapid production workflow. The PDF file found at the URL given below is generated to provide you with a proof of the content of your manuscript. Once you have submitted your corrections, the production office will proceed with the publication of your article.

John Wiley & Sons has made this article available to you online for faster, more efficient editing. Please follow the instructions below and you will be able to access a PDF version of your article as well as relevant accompanying paperwork.

First, make sure you have a copy of Adobe Acrobat Reader software to read these files. This is free software and is available for user downloading at <http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html>.

Open your web browser, and enter the following web address:

<http://115.111.50.156/jw/retrieval.aspx?pwd=8aaaafd76791>

You will be prompted to log in, and asked for a password. Your login name will be your email address, and your password will be 8aaaafd76791

Example:

Login: your e-mail address

Password: 8aaaafd76791

The site contains one file, containing:

- Author Instructions Checklist
- Annotated PDF Instructions
- Reprint Order Information
- A copy of your page proofs for your article

In order to speed the proofing process, we strongly encourage authors to correct proofs by annotating PDF files. Any corrections should be returned to jrnprodsyn@cadmus.com 1 to 2 business days after receipt of this email in order to achieve our goal of publishing your article online 15 days from the day final data was received.

Please see the Instructions on the Annotation of PDF files included with your page proofs. Please take care to answer all queries on the last page of the PDF proof; proofread any tables and equations carefully; and check that

Synapse

Copy of e-mail Notification

any Greek characters (especially "mu") have converted correctly. Please check your figure legends carefully.

- answer all queries on the last page of the PDF proof
- proofread any tables and equations carefully
- check your figure(s) and legends for accuracy

Within 1 to 2 business days, please return page proofs with corrections and any relevant forms to:

Production Editor, SYN

E-mail: jrbabu@wiley.com

Technical problems? If you experience technical problems downloading your file or any other problem with the website listed above, please contact Balaji/Sam (e-mail: Wiley.CS@cenveo.com, phone: +91 (44) 4205-8810 (ext.308)). Be sure to include your article number.

Questions regarding your article? Please don't hesitate to contact me with any questions about the article itself, or if you have trouble interpreting any of the questions listed at the end of your file. **REMEMBER TO INCLUDE YOUR ARTICLE NO. (21809) WITH ALL CORRESPONDENCE.** This will help us address your query most efficiently.

As this e-proofing system was designed to make the publishing process easier for everyone, we welcome any and all feedback. Thanks for participating in our e-proofing system!

This e-proof is to be used only for the purpose of returning corrections to the publisher.

Sincerely,

Production Editor, SYN

E-mail: jrbabu@wiley.com



111 RIVER STREET, HOBOKEN, NJ 07030

*****IMMEDIATE RESPONSE REQUIRED*****

Your article will be published online via Wiley's EarlyView® service (wileyonlinelibrary.com) shortly after receipt of corrections. EarlyView® is Wiley's online publication of individual articles in full text HTML and/or pdf format before release of the compiled published issue of the journal. Articles posted online in EarlyView® are peer-reviewed, copyedited, author corrected, and fully citable via the article DOI (for further information, visit www.doi.org). EarlyView® means you benefit from the best of two worlds--fast online availability as well as traditional, issue-based archiving.

Please follow these instructions to avoid delay of publication.

READ PROOFS CAREFULLY

- This will be your only chance to review these proofs. **Please note that once your corrected article is posted online, it is considered legally published, and cannot be removed from the Web site for further corrections.**
- Please note that the volume and page numbers shown on the proofs are for position only.

ANSWER ALL QUERIES ON PROOFS (If there are queries they will be found on the last page of the PDF file.)

- In order to speed the proofing process, we strongly encourage authors to correct proofs by annotating PDF files. Please see the instructions on the Annotation of PDF files. If unable to annotate the PDF file, please print out and mark changes directly on the page proofs.

CHECK FIGURES AND TABLES CAREFULLY

- Check size, numbering, and orientation of figures.
- All images in the PDF are downsampled (reduced to lower resolution and file size) to facilitate Internet delivery. These images will appear at higher resolution and sharpness in the final, published article.
- Review figure legends to ensure that they are complete.
- Check all tables. Review layout, title, and footnotes.

RETURN **PROOFS**

Other forms, as needed

Return corrections immediately via email to jrbabu@wiley.com

QUESTIONS

Production Editor, SYN
E-mail: jrbabu@wiley.com
Refer to journal acronym and article production number
(i.e., SYN 00-0000 for SYNAPSE ms 00-0000).


5. Attach File Tool – for inserting large amounts of text or replacement figures.



Inserts an icon linking to the attached file in the appropriate place in the text.


How to use it

- Click on the [Attach File](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Click on the proof to where you'd like the attached file to be linked.
- Select the file to be attached from your computer or network.
- Select the colour and type of icon that will appear in the proof. Click OK.




6. Drawing Markups Tools – for drawing shapes, lines and freeform annotations on proofs and commenting on these marks.

Allows shapes, lines and freeform annotations to be drawn on proofs and for comment to be made on these marks.



How to use it

- Click on one of the shapes in the Drawing Markups section.
- Click on the proof at the relevant point and draw the selected shape with the cursor.
- To add a comment to the drawn shape, move the cursor over the shape until an arrowhead appears.
- Double click on the shape and type any text in the red box that appears.





Additional reprint purchases

Should you wish to purchase additional copies of your article, please click on the link and follow the instructions provided:

<https://caesar.sheridan.com/reprints/redir.php?pub=10089&acro=SYN>

Corresponding authors are invited to inform their co-authors of the reprint options available.

Please note that regardless of the form in which they are acquired, reprints should not be resold, nor further disseminated in electronic form, nor deployed in part or in whole in any marketing, promotional or educational contexts without authorization from Wiley. Permissions requests should be directed to mail to: permissionsus@wiley.com

For information about 'Pay-Per-View and Article Select' click on the following link: wileyonlinelibrary.com/aboutus/ppv-articleselect.html

Opposing Relationships of BMI With BOLD and Dopamine D2/3 Receptor Binding Potential in the Dorsal Striatum

KELLY P. COSGROVE,^{1,2} MARIA G. VELDHIJZEN,^{1,3} CHRISTINE M. SANDIEGO,^{1,2}
EVAN D. MORRIS,^{1,2,4} AND DANA M. SMALL^{1,3,5,6*}

¹Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut

²Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Yale PET Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut

³The John B. Pierce Laboratory, New Haven, Connecticut

⁴Department of Biomedical Engineering, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut

⁵Cluster for Excellence, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

⁶Max Planck Institute for Neurological Research, Cologne, Germany

KEY WORDS [¹¹C]-(+)-PHNO; PET; BMI; BOLD; fMRI; human; dopamine D_{2/3} receptor

ABSTRACT Findings from clinical and preclinical studies converge to suggest that increased adiposity and/or exposure to a high fat diet are associated with alterations in dorsal striatal (DS) circuitry. In humans there is a reliable inverse relationship between body mass index (BMI) and response to palatable food consumption in the dorsal striatum (DS). Positron emission tomography (PET) studies also suggest altered DS dopamine type 2/3 receptor (D2R/D3R) availability in obesity; however, the direction of the association is unclear. It is also not clear whether dopamine receptor levels contribute to the lower blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) response because PET studies have targeted the morbidly obese and, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies rarely include individuals with BMIs in this range. Therefore we examined whether the fMRI BOLD response in the DS to milkshake is associated with D2R/D3R availability measured with [¹¹C]PHNO and PET in individuals with BMI ranging from healthy weight to moderately obese. Twenty-nine subjects participated in the fMRI study, 12 in the [¹¹C]PHNO PET study, 8 of whom also completed the fMRI study. As predicted there was a significant negative association between DS BOLD response to milkshake and BMI. In contrast, BMI was positively associated with D2R/D3R availability. Dorsal striatal BOLD response was unrelated to D2R/D3R availability. Considered in the context of the larger literature our results suggest the existence of a non-linear relationship between D2R/D3R availability and BMI. Additionally, the altered BOLD responses to palatable food consumption observed in obesity are not clearly related to D2R/D3R receptor availability. Using [¹¹C]PHNO and PET brain imaging techniques we show that body mass index was positively associated with D2R/D3R availability in the dorsal striatum, but that functional MR BOLD response was unrelated to D2R/D3R availability. These results suggest the existence of a nonlinear relationship between D2R/D3R availability and body mass index and that the altered BOLD responses to food consumption seen in obesity are not directly related to D2R/D3R availability. **Synapse 00:000-000, 2015. © 2015 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.**

Author Contributions: All authors participated in data collection, interpretation and writing of the manuscript. MV and CS analyzed the data.

Contract grant sponsor: NIH; Contract grant numbers: K02 DA031750, R01 DK085579; Contract grant sponsor: CTSA; Contract grant number: UL1 RR024139; Contract grant sponsors: National Center for Research Resources (NCRR); National Center for Advancing Translational Science (NCATS); National Institutes of Health (NIH); NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.

*Correspondence to: Dana Small, PhD, The John B Pierce Laboratory, 290 Congress Avenue, New Haven, CT 06519. E-mail: dsmall@jbpierce.org

Received 1 July 2014; Revised 15 December 2014; Accepted 22 January 2015

DOI: 10.1002/syn.21809

Published online 00 Month 2015 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).

INTRODUCTION

There is a general consensus that the obesity epidemic results from the combination of a vulnerable brain in an unhealthy environment. As such, identification of ways in which brain functions interact with environmental variables has been a major theme of obesity research. One of the most consistent findings to emerge from the neuroimaging literature is a reliable negative association between body mass index (BMI) and the blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) response to palatable food consumption in the dorsal striatum (DS) (Babbs et al., 2013; Frank et al., 2012; Green et al., 2011; Stice et al., 2008a,b, 2010). This association appears to be a consequence, rather than a cause of initial obesity, since reduced responses are associated with gaining weight (Stice et al., 2010) but not with risk for developing obesity in lean youth (Stice et al., 2011). Critically, DS BOLD response to milkshake has recently been reported to be inversely related to a self-report measure of impulsivity in overweight but not lean individuals (Babbs et al., 2013). This raises the possibility that adiposity- or diet-induced changes in DS may result in increased impulsivity, which could increase risk for weight gain (Babbs et al., 2013). It is therefore of significant interest to determine the mechanism supporting the DS BMI-BOLD association.

One possibility, supported by preclinical and clinical studies, is that D2R density may contribute to lower DS BOLD responses (Hamdi et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2006; Johnson and Kenny, 2010; Stice et al., 2008a, 2011; South and Huang, 2008; Thanos et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2001). First, the relationship between BOLD DS response and BMI is stronger in individuals who possess a copy of the A1 allele of the *Taq1A* A1 polymorphism, which links the BOLD response to D2R because A1 carriers have lower D2R density (Stice et al., 2008a). Second, in rodents, weight gain is associated with down regulation (Michaelides et al., 2012; Thanos et al., 2008) and weight loss with up regulation of D2R expression (Steele et al., 2010). Moreover, a biological reduction in D2Rs, by lentivirus-mediated knockdown, increased the development of compulsive food seeking in rats with access to high fat palatable food (Johnson and Kenny, 2010). This latter finding supports an association between D2R and BOLD because BOLD response in the caudate to milkshake has been associated with impulsivity in overweight/obese individuals, which like compulsive responding is an example of increased non-goal directed behavior (Babbs et al., 2013).

In humans the relationship between D2R/D3R and obesity is less clear. Wang et al. first reported lower D2R/D3R receptor availability measured with [¹¹C]raclopride and positron emission tomography (PET) in a group of morbidly obese individuals (BMI

> 40) compared to individuals ranging in BMI from 21 to 28. For reference, a BMI > 40 is defined as morbidly obese, > 30 is obese, > 25 is overweight, 18.5–25 is healthy, and < 18.5 is underweight. This effect was replicated using [¹²³I]IBZM SPECT (de Weijer et al., 2011) but not (*N*-[¹¹C]methyl)benperidol PET (Eisenstein et al., 2013). Contradictory findings are also present in studies of weight change and D2R/D3R density in humans. One study reported D2R expression, roughly proportional to weight loss post vs. pre bariatric surgery (Steele et al., 2010) while a second reported the opposite finding with an 8–10% decrease in D2R expression (Dunn et al., 2010). In addition to contradictory results, studies have been mostly limited to the morbidly obese. In fact, the Wang study failed to observe an inverse relationship between BMI and D2R/D3R density in the control group, which included overweight individuals (Wang et al., 2001). This is an important consideration because there is evidence that DA related functions, such as reward sensitivity, have a nonlinear relationship with BMI (Davis et al., 2004). Moreover, due to the constraints imposed by the bore size, morbidly obese subjects are rarely included in the fMRI studies of food reward. Rather, average BMI's in the higher BMI groups tend to range from overweight to mildly obese. The lack of studies relating D2R/D3R to healthy and moderately elevated BMI not only represents a significant gap in the literature but also opens the possibility that lower BOLD responses may result from either higher or lower D2R density. To address this gap we examined the relationship in the DS between the BOLD response to palatable food and D2R/D3R availability in participants ranging from healthy weight to moderately obese.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Thirty-three physically and mentally healthy non-smokers, aged 26.9 ± 5.9 years (range: 19–39 years), participated in the study (Table I). BMI ranged from 20.8 to 36.5. Height and weight were measured using a Detecto 439 Mechanical Scale (footwear and coats removed) and BMI was calculated [$\text{BMI} = \text{weight (kg)} / \text{height (m)}^2$]. Eligibility was determined as follows: subjects were examined by a study physician to exclude major medical issues or neurological disorders; electrocardiogram, serum chemistries, thyroid function studies, complete blood count, urinalysis, and urine toxicology screening were performed; the Structured Clinical Interview for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders was administered to rule out Axis I psychiatric disorders. Participants had no history of significant medical illness, major head trauma, or loss of consciousness. Females had negative pregnancy tests at intake and on each PET scan day prior to radiotracer injection. All subjects

T1

RELATIONSHIPS OF BMI, BOLD, AND D2R/D3R BP

TABLE I. Subject characteristics

Demographics	fMRI	PET	fMRI & PET
N	29	12	8
Age	19 – 37, 27.3 ± 6.0	20 – 37, 28.3 ± 6.0	21 – 37, 29.4 ± 6.3
Gender	12F/17M	2F/10M	2F/6M
BMI	20.8 – 36.5, 26.3 ± 4.4	21.5 – 36.5, 27.9 ± 4.5	21.5 – 36.5, 28.3 ± 5.3

gave written informed consent to participate in our study that was approved by Yale University School of Medicine Human Investigation Committee.

A subset of 29 subjects participated in an fMRI paradigm in which neural response to milkshake was measured. Data from 23 of these subjects was reported previously in a study examining brain responses to milkshake that predict subsequent milkshake intake (Nolan-Poupart et al., 2013), and data from six subjects was reported in a study describing the relationship between DS response to milkshake and impulsivity (Babbs et al., 2013). The two studies used a nearly identical paradigm to that in the current study. We measured [¹¹C]PHNO binding potential (BP_{ND}) in twelve out of thirty-three subjects. Eight subjects participated in both [¹¹C]PHNO PET and fMRI.

Positron emission tomography (PET) study

PET data acquisition

[¹¹C]PHNO was prepared as previously described (Wilson et al., 2005). The specific activity at the end of synthesis was 3.2 ± 1.2 mCi nmol⁻¹. Each participant had one [¹¹C]PHNO PET scan at the Yale PET Center on the High Resolution Research Tomograph (HRRT) (Siemens/CTI, Knoxville, TN). An initial 6-min transmission scan using an orbiting ¹³⁷Cs point-source was acquired prior to radiotracer injection for attenuation correction. One venous catheter in the forearm antecubital vein was established for administration of [¹¹C]PHNO. The injected dose for the test scan was 15.1 ± 4.8 mCi with a mass of 0.043 ± 0.020 µg kg⁻¹. For the radiotracer injection, [¹¹C]PHNO was diluted with sterile saline solution and delivered as a bolus (n = 9) or bolus plus constant infusion (n = 3) (K_{bol} = 80 min) by a syringe pump (Harvard PHD 22/2000, Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA). List mode data were reframed into a dynamic sequence of 33 frames (6 × 30 sec, 3 × 1 min, 2 × 2 min, 22 × 5 min). Dynamic images (207 slices; pixel size = 1.2 mm) were reconstructed using OSEM including an event-by-event motion correction algorithm (MOLAR; Carson IEEE-NSS). The parameters used for motion correction were measured using an optical motion tracking tool fastened to the subjects head via a lycra swim-cap (Vicra, NDI systems, Waterloo, Canada).

PET image analysis

Each participant’s summed early PET image (0–10 min) was used for coregistration to the individual sub-

ject’s MRI, which was then coregistered to a template MRI (Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL) template). Decay-corrected time activity curves were generated for region-of-interest (ROI) bilateral dorsal caudate as previously delineated (Martinez et al., 2003).

PET outcome measures

Regional [¹¹C] PHNO uptake was quantified as binding potential (BP_{ND}), which is proportional to the density of available receptors. BP_{ND} was calculated for both bolus and bolus plus constant infusion studies by the simplified reference tissue model (SRTM) (Lammertsma and Hume, 1996). The cerebellum was used as a reference region.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study: Experiment 1

These methods are described in detail in (Nolan-Poupart et al., 2013) and reproduced here in brief. Twenty-four out of 29 subjects that participated in the fMRI study, as well as five out of eight subjects participating in both the PET and fMRI study participated in this experiment.

Stimuli and delivery

Stimuli included Nestlé’s chocolate milkshake and a tasteless baseline solution. Liquids were delivered as 1 mL of solution over 4 s using a gustometer system described previously (Veldhuizen et al., 2007). In brief, this system consists of computer controlled syringe pumps connected to an fMRI-compatible custom designed gustatory manifold via 25-foot lengths of beverage tubing (Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics). The manifold is mounted on the headcoil and liquids dripped from the manifold stylus onto the tongue.

Experimental design

Subjects participated in one fMRI training session and one fMRI scanning session conducted on separate days. Subjects were instructed to arrive feeling neither hungry nor full and to abstain from eating or drinking anything (except water) for 1 h before both sessions.

fMRI data acquisition

Stimuli were delivered according to a long event-related design (Small et al., 2003a, 2004). Either a

milkshake or a tasteless solution was presented to the subject for 4 s. After a 3–10 s wait, the milkshake was followed by a 4 s tasteless rinse. Before the onset of the next trial, there was a 3–10 s wait. Subjects were instructed to hold the solution in their mouths until they had received the full amount of the liquid and then to swallow during the wait. Subjects participated in two functional runs (22 repeats of each stimulus) and one anatomical scan.

The images were acquired on a Siemens 3 T Trio magnetom scanner at Yale University Magnetic Resonance Research Center, using parameters similar to previous studies. Susceptibility-weighted single-shot echoplanar imaging was used to measure the blood oxygenation-level dependent (BOLD) signal as an indication of cerebral brain activation with TR, 2000 ms; TE, 20 ms; flip angle, 80°; FOV, 220 mm; matrix, 64 × 64; slice thickness, 3 mm; and acquisition of 40 contiguous slices, acquired in an interleaved mode. The MR signal was allowed to equilibrate at the beginning of each functional run over six scans for a total of 12 s, which were then excluded from analysis. A high-resolution T1-weighted three-dimensional anatomical scan was acquired with the following parameters: TR/TE, 2530/3.66 ms; flip angle, 20°; matrix, 256 × 256; 1 mm thick slices; FOV, 256; 176 slices.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study: Experiment 2

These methods are described in detail (Babbs et al., 2013) and differ only slightly from the procedure in fMRI experiment 2013. Five out of 29 subjects that participated in the fMRI study, as well as three out of eight subjects participating in both the PET and fMRI study participated in this experiment.

Stimuli

Stimuli included a 50/50% mixture of Chug “chocolate” and “cookies and cream” milkshake and a strawberry milkshake mixed from Hershey’s strawberry syrup and whole milk, and a tasteless baseline solution.

Experimental design

Subjects participated in three fMRI scanning sessions conducted on separate days. One scan was performed after a fast of 4 h, one was performed after a small meal that was intended to leave the subject neither hungry nor full and another scan was performed after the subject was instructed to eat the same meal to satiety. We only used the data from the scan in which subjects were neither hungry nor full.

fMRI data acquisition

Subjects participated in two functional runs (20 repeats of tasteless, 10 repeats of chocolate milkshake, and 10 repeats of strawberry milkshake) and one ana-

tomical scan. These runs were presented in a scan in which there were also 4 runs in which odors were presented (not included in the analyses presented).

fMRI data analysis

Data were analyzed using SPM8 software (Statistical Parametric Mapping; Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK). Functional images were time-acquisition corrected to the slice obtained at 50% of the TR and realigned to the mean image. Excessive movement during scanning was defined as >2 mm movement in any direction, a criterion that none of the subjects reported here met. Anatomical and functional images were normalized and segmented using the VBM toolbox. Functional time-series were detrended, a method that eliminates at each voxel any linear component matching the global signal (Macey et al., 2004). Functional images were smoothed using a 6-mm full-width at half maximum isotropic Gaussian kernel. A design matrix was created at the individual subject level, which identified the onset and duration of each event. Events of interest were defined as mini-blocks with a duration of 4 s after onset (when solution entered the subject’s mouth). All milkshake presentations (i.e., collapsed across chocolate and strawberry for fMRI experiment 2012) were modeled as an event of interest, as well as the tasteless presentations. Rinses were modeled as nuisance events. A 270 s high-pass filter was applied to the time-series data with the aim to remove low-frequency noise and slow signal drifts, which reflected the maximum period between two presentations of the same event of interest. A general linear model was used to estimate, at each voxel, condition-specific effects. A canonical hemodynamic response function, including a temporal derivative, was used to model neural response to events.

Whole brain fMRI analyses

To assess group effects, the parameter estimate images for the conditions for each subject were entered into one-sample *t* test contrasting Milkshake–Tasteless. *T*-map threshold was set at *P* uncorrected < 0.005 and a five-voxel cluster size. The milkshake and tasteless solution differ in pleasantness, mouthfeel, flavor and caloric content. Differential activations evoked by these stimuli may reflect any of these factors. We corrected for multiple comparisons by using the family-wise error correction at *P* < 0.05. Predicted peaks were considered significant if the correction was performed across all voxels of the ROI, whereas unpredicted peaks were considered significant if the correction was performed across all voxels of the entire brain. The ROI included the left and right insula for the effect of Milkshake–Tasteless.




Fig. 1. BOLD response to Milkshake-tasteless in the left and right insula. Axial section displayed at $P < 0.001$. Color bar depicts t values. Bar graphs show percent signal change for milkshake (orange) and tasteless solution (white) from the peak voxel.

ROI and statistical analyses for PET and fMRI

We used the same ROI as for extraction of BP (as above; dorsal caudate). We used rfxplot (Glascher, 2009) to extract % signal change in response to milkshake averaged over all voxels (no thresholding applied) in each of the ROIs, regardless of whether they showed a main effect of response to milkshake vs. tasteless, thereby avoiding “double dipping” (Kriegeskorte et al., 2009). Rfxplot adjusts the extracted values for run regressors and nuisance events (rinses). Percent signal change is the standard for reporting magnitude of activation in fMRI experiments. Rfxplot rescales the parameter estimate for a regressor by taking the mean signal intensity in that particular voxel into account. As such it is a measure of percent local signal change, which expresses how much the peak voxel is activated by each experimental condition compared to its own baseline signal intensity.

Next, we used the partial correlation procedure in SPSS with gender as a covariate to determine whether there is a linear relation between BMI and BOLD or BP_{ND} . P values of < 0.05 for the regression were regarded as significant (uncorrected for multiple comparisons).

RESULTS

Main effect of milkshake—Tasteless

Consistent with earlier reports, there was a main effect of Milkshake-Tasteless in the insula bilaterally (Fig. 1), in the left hemisphere at MNI $x y z$ coordinates $-39, -4, -2$, $Z = 3.83$, $P_{FWEcorrected} = 0.015$, clustersize of 161 voxels, and a trend in the right hemisphere at $30, 26, -8$, $Z = 3.42$, $P_{FWEcorrected} = .055$, clustersize of 69 voxels. We did not expect to observe a main effect in the dorsal striatum, as our previous studies indicate that this response depends upon BMI.

Relationship between BOLD response and BMI

BOLD response to milkshake was inversely related to BMI in the right dorsal caudate ($P = 0.017$) in the subgroup of eight subjects and we observed a significant inverse relationship between BMI and neural response in the left dorsal caudate ($P = 0.040$) as well as a trend in right dorsal caudate ($P = 0.058$) in the full group of 29 subjects (Fig. 2). Overall, consistent with our prior work and our prediction, with BMI increases there is a decrease in response to milkshake.

Relationship between D2/3 availability and BMI

$[^{11}C]PHNO BP_{ND}$ was significantly and positively correlated with BMI in the right dorsal caudate ($P = 0.040$) in the group of 12 subjects (Fig. 2), as well as in the group of eight subjects ($P = 0.042$). No associations were observed in other striatal subregions.

Relationship between D2/3 availability and BOLD response

For the eight subjects that participated in both PET and fMRI studies, $[^{11}C]PHNO BP_{ND}$ and BOLD were not significantly correlated in any regions examined. We also performed a voxel wise correlation analysis in the striatum between ROI BP values and BOLD response and again did not observe significant effects.

Relationship between age, BMI, and D2/3 availability

There was no correlation found between BMI and age. There was no correlation between $[^{11}C]PHNO BP_{ND}$ and age in the right dorsal caudate ($P = 0.794$, $n = 12$), but there was a trend toward lower D2/3 availability with increased age in the left dorsal caudate of ($P = 0.095$, $n = 12$).




Fig. 2. BOLD response to milkshake (middle section) and $[^{11}\text{C}]\text{PHNO BP}$ (upper section) as a function of BMI (kg m^{-2}) in the left dorsal caudate (dCa L; left column) and right dorsal caudate (dCa R; right column). The total $n = 29$ subjects participated in both PET and

fMRI ($n = 8$), PET ($n = 12$), and fMRI ($n = 29$). $[^{11}\text{C}]\text{PHNO BP}$ as a function of BOLD response to milkshake is depicted in the bottom section, with female subjects indicated by the label f. Pearson correlation coefficients and corresponding P values are shown in each sector.

Relationship between age and BMI and BOLD response

There was no significant correlation between age and BMI in the subjects participating in the BOLD response ($n = 29$ and $n = 8$) studies. Age and BOLD response were not correlated in the left or right dorsal caudate ($n = 29$ and $n = 8$).

DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study is the identification of a positive association between BMI and D2R/D3R availability in healthy subjects with BMIs ranging from 20.8 to 36.5. Taken together with the previous finding of a negative association between BMI and D2R/D3R in morbidly obese subjects (BMI > 40) (Wang et al., 2001), this is consistent with the existence of a nonlinear relationship between D2R/D3R availability and BMI. Specifically, we suggest that there is a positive association between BMI and D2R/D3R availability in the overweight to mildly obese range of BMI and a negative association between these factors in the morbidly obese range of BMIs.

An important consideration in interpreting the association between D2R/D3R and BMI is that the relationship may not be driven by adiposity. BMI is correlated not only with adiposity but also with glucose metabolism and diet. The only study to report no association between BMI and D2R availability in the morbidly obese performed oral glucose tolerance tests to exclude individuals who had altered glucose metabolism (Eisenstein et al., 2013). The other studies excluded individuals who reported a diagnosis of diabetes but did not assess the possibility that participants had compromised glucose metabolism below the criteria for diabetes. Given that DS dopamine response to food is driven by glucose oxidation (Tellez et al., in press) and that striatal responses to food cues depends upon individual differences in glucose metabolism (de Araujo et al., 2013) it is possible that variation in glucose metabolism rather than adiposity drives the association with dopamine receptors. A second, and equally possible contributing variable is exposure to a high fat diet. Rodents that consume a high-fat diet exhibit decreased dopamine turnover independent of the development of obesity (Davis et al., 2008). In humans the relationship between fat intake and dopamine receptor levels has not been assessed; however, there is evidence that cognitive impairments associated with dopamine signaling are related to fat intake rather than BMI (Francis et al., 2013). In addition, self-reported frequency of ice-cream consumption, but not BMI in a lean sample is inversely related to DS BOLD response to milkshake in healthy weight individuals (Burger and Stice, 2012). Failure to evaluate diet or glucose metabolism is an important shortcoming of the current and past

studies and may well account for discrepant results. Disambiguating the contribution of these related factors to dopamine receptor levels is therefore an important aim for future work.

In the current study, we were able to replicate the negative relationship between BMI and DS BOLD response to the consumption of a palatable milkshake. However, in contrast to predictions, while BMI was significantly associated with both [¹¹C]PHNO binding potential and BOLD response, these two variables were unrelated in this small sample. We acknowledge that this may reflect a false negative due to our relatively small sample size. However, while it is possible that a relationship might emerge with a larger sample, our results suggest that the relationship, if present, is not strong. Additionally, our finding argues against the possibility that the negative association between DS BOLD response to milkshake and BMI can be explained by D2R/D3Rs. However, it does not rule out the possibility that dopamine signaling contributes to DS BOLD responses. Critically, BOLD measurements are assessed in response to the consumption of a palatable food. In contrast, binding potential is assessed at rest. As a result it reflects baseline receptor levels rather than dopamine release in response to a palatable food. Dopamine release upon food consumption has been demonstrated in humans with [¹¹C]raclopride (Small et al., 2003b). Consistent with the specific involvement of DS, binding potential was significantly reduced in the dorsal aspect of the dorsal striatum post- versus pre- consumption of a favorite meal. To date no study has examined the effect of BMI on dopamine release but given that basal receptor levels do not correlate with BOLD response such studies are indicated.

A final consideration that we wish to highlight is that the negative relationship between BMI and DS BOLD response is specific to measurements taken during food consumption. In most of the studies reporting this association, response to distal food cues, such as the sight or smell of food, is also assessed and the opposite relationship with BMI is observed (Babbs et al., 2013; Stice et al., 2008b). Corroborating these findings Nummenmaa et al. reported increased glucose uptake rate and increased BOLD response in the dorsal caudate in obese compared to normal weight subjects (Nummenmaa et al., 2012). These results raise the possibility that the positive association between D2R/D3R availability and BMI may be associated with BOLD responses to food cues. They also emphasize the multiple roles that dopamine signaling plays in appetitive behaviors and the possibility for independent effects of adiposity, diet, glucose metabolism or other factors on specific pathways.

In summary, we observed a positive relationship between BMI and D2R/D3R availability and a negative

relationship between BMI and DS BOLD response to milkshake consumption. We further show that these associations are unrelated. The results suggest the existence of a non-linear relationship between D2R/D3R availability and BMI. The lack of association between binding potential and BOLD response indicates that dopamine release studies will be critical in determining potential dysfunction in the DS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official view of NIH. The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.


REFERENCES

- Babbs RK, Sun X, Felsted J, Chouinard-Decorte F, Veldhuizen MG, Small DM. 2013. Decreased caudate response to milkshake is associated with higher body mass index and greater impulsivity. *Physiol Behav* 121:103–111.
- Burger KS, Stice E. 2012. Frequent ice cream consumption is associated with reduced striatal response to receipt of an ice cream-based milkshake. *Am J Clin Nutr* 95:810–817.
- Davis C, Strachan S, Berkson M. 2004. Sensitivity to reward: Implications for overeating and overweight. *Appetite* 42:131–138.
- Davis JF, Tracy AL, Schurdak JD, Tschop MH, Lipton JW, Clegg DJ, et al. 2008. Exposure to elevated levels of dietary fat attenuates psychostimulant reward and mesolimbic dopamine turnover in the rat. *Behav Neurosci* 122:1257–1263.
- de Weijer BA, van de Giessen E, van Amelsvoort TA, Boot E, Braak B, Janssen IM, et al. 2011. Lower striatal dopamine D2/3 receptor availability in obese compared with non-obese subjects. *EJNMMI Res* 1:37.
- de Araujo IE, Lin T, Veldhuizen MG, Small DM. 2013. Metabolic regulation of brain response to food cues. *Curr Biol* 23:878–883.
- Dunn JP, Cowan RL, Volkow ND, Feurer ID, Li R, Williams DB, et al. 2010. Decreased dopamine type 2 receptor availability after bariatric surgery: Preliminary findings. *Brain Res* 1350:123–130.
- Eisenstein SA, Antenor-Dorsey JA, Gredysa DM, Koller JM, Bihun EC, Ranck SA, et al. 2013. A comparison of D2 receptor specific binding in obese and normal-weight individuals using PET with (*N*-[(11C)methyl]benperidol. *Synapse* 67:748–756.
- Francis H, Stevenson R. 2013. The longer-term impacts of western diet on human cognition and the brain. *Appetite* 63:119–128.
- Frank GK, Reynolds JR, Shott ME, Jappe L, Yang TT, Tregellas JR, et al. 2012. Anorexia nervosa and obesity are associated with opposite brain reward response. *Neuropsychopharmacol Off Publ Am Coll Neuropsychopharmacol* 37:2031–2046.
- Glascher J. 2009. Visualization of group inference data in functional neuroimaging. *Neuroinformatics* 7:73–82.
- Green E, Jacobson A, Haase L, Murphy C. 2011. Reduced nucleus accumbens and caudate nucleus activation to a pleasant taste is associated with obesity in older adults. *Brain Res* 1386:109–117.
- Hamdi A, Porter J, Prasad C. 1992. Decreased striatal D2 dopamine receptors in obese Zucker rats: changes during aging. *Brain Res* 589:338–340.
- Huang XF, Zavitsanou K, Huang X, Yu Y, Wang H, Chen F, et al. 2006. Dopamine transporter and D2 receptor binding densities in mice prone or resistant to chronic high fat diet-induced obesity. *Behav Brain Res* 175:415–419.
- Johnson PM, Kenny PJ. 2010. Dopamine D2 receptors in addiction-like reward dysfunction and compulsive eating in obese rats. *Nat Neurosci* 13:635–641.
- Kriegeskorte N, Simmons WK, Bellgowan PS, Baker CI. 2009. Circular analysis in systems neuroscience: The dangers of double dipping. *Nat Neurosci* 12:535–540.
- Lammertsma AA, Hume SP. 1996. Simplified reference tissue model for PET receptor studies. *Neuroimage* 4:153–158.
- Macey PM, Macey KE, Kumar R, Harper RM. 2004. A method for removal of global effects from fMRI time series. *Neuroimage* 22:360–366.
- Martinez D, Slifstein M, Broft A, Mawlawi O, Hwang DR, Huang Y, et al. 2003. Imaging human mesolimbic dopamine transmission with positron emission tomography. Part II: amphetamine-induced dopamine release in the functional subdivisions of the striatum. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab Off J Int Soc Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 23:285–300.
- Michaelides M, Thanos PK, Kim R, Cho J, Ananth M, Wang GJ, et al. 2012. PET imaging predicts future body weight and cocaine preference. *Neuroimage* 59:1508–1513.
- Nolan-Poupard S, Veldhuizen MG, Geha P, Small DM. 2013. Mid-brain response to milkshake correlates with ad libitum milkshake intake in the absence of hunger. *Appetite* 60:168–174.
- Nummenmaa L, Hirvonen J, Hannukainen JC, Immonen H, Lindroos MM, Salminen P, et al. 2012. Dorsal striatum and its limbic connectivity mediate abnormal anticipatory reward processing in obesity. *PLoS One* 7:e31089.
- Small DM, Gregory MD, Mak YE, Gitelman D, Mesulam MM, Parrish T. 2003. Dissociation of neural representation of intensity and affective valuation in human gustation. *Neuron* 39:701–711.
- Small DM, Jones-Gotman M, Dagher A. 2003. Feeding-induced dopamine release in dorsal striatum correlates with meal pleasantness ratings in healthy human volunteers. *Neuroimage* 19:1709–1715.
- Small DM, Voss J, Mak YE, Simmons KB, Parrish T, Gitelman D. 2004. Experience-dependent neural integration of taste and smell in the human brain. *J Neurophysiol* 92:1892–1903.
- South T, Huang XF. 2008. High-fat diet exposure increases dopamine D2 receptor and decreases dopamine transporter receptor binding density in the nucleus accumbens and caudate putamen of mice. *Neurochem Res* 33:598–605.
- Steele KE, Prokopowicz GP, Schweitzer MA, Magunsuon TH, Lidor AO, Kuwabara H, et al. 2010. Alterations of central dopamine receptors before and after gastric bypass surgery. *Obes Surg* 20:369–374.
- Stice E, Spoor S, Bohon C, Small DM. 2008. Relation between obesity and blunted striatal response to food is moderated by Taq1A A1 allele. *Science* 322:449–452.
- Stice E, Spoor S, Bohon C, Veldhuizen MG, Small DM. 2008. Relation of reward from food intake and anticipated food intake to obesity: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Abnorm Psychol* 117:924–935.
- Stice E, Yokum S, Bohon C, Marti N, Smolen A. 2010. Reward circuitry responsivity to food predicts future increases in body mass: Moderating effects of DRD2 and DRD4. *Neuroimage* 50:1618–1625.
- Stice E, Yokum S, Burger KS, Epstein LH, Small DM. 2011. Youth at risk for obesity show greater activation of striatal and somatosensory regions to food. *J Neurosci* 31:4360–4366.
- Tellez L, Ren X, Han W, Medina S, Ferreira J, Yeckel C, et al. Glucose utilization rates regulate intake levels of artificial sweeteners. *J Physiol* (in press).
- Thanos PK, Michaelides M, Piyis YK, Wang GJ, Volkow ND. 2008. Food restriction markedly increases dopamine D2 receptor (D2R) in a rat model of obesity as assessed with in vivo muPET imaging ([11C] raclopride) and in vitro ([3H] spiperone) autoradiography. *Synapse* 62:50–61.
- Veldhuizen MG, Bender G, Constable RT, Small DM. 2007. Trying to detect taste in a tasteless solution: Modulation of early gustatory cortex by attention to taste. *Chem Sen* 32:569–581.
- Wang GJ, Volkow ND, Logan J, Pappas NR, Wong CT, Zhu W, et al. 2001. Brain dopamine and obesity. *Lancet* 357:354–357.
- Wilson AA, McCormick P, Kapur S, Willeit M, Garcia A, Hussey D, et al. 2005. Radiosynthesis and evaluation of [11C]-(+)-4-propyl-3,4,4a,5,6,10b-hexahydro-2H-naphtho[1,2-b][1,4]oxazin-9-ol as a potential radiotracer for in vivo imaging of the dopamine D2 high-affinity state with positron emission tomography. *J Med Chem* 48:4153–4160.

AQ3

AQ4

SGML and CITI Use Only
DO NOT PRINT



Using [¹¹C]PHNO and PET brain imaging techniques we show that body mass index was positively associated with D2R/D3R availability in the dorsal striatum, but that functional MR BOLD response was unrelated to D2R/D3R availability. These results suggest the existence of a non-linear relationship between D2R/D3R availability and body mass index and that the altered BOLD responses to food consumption seen in obesity are not directly related to D2R/D3R availability

WILEY
Author Proof

AQ1: Please confirm that all author names are OK and are set with first name first, surname last.

AQ2: Kindly provide the department names for affiliations 3, 5, and 6.

AQ3: Kindly provide names of all the authors for all the et al.-type references.

AQ4: Kindly update all the “in press”-type references.

AQ5: Please confirm that given names (red) and surnames/family names (green) have been identified correctly.

WILEY
Author Proof