Varenicline Reduces Alcohol Self-Administration in Heavy-Drinking Smokers
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Background: Alcohol and tobacco dependence are highly comorbid disorders, with preclinical evidence suggesting a role for nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) in alcohol consumption. Varenicline, a partial nicotinic agonist with high affinity for the α4β2 nAChR receptor, reduced ethanol intake in rodents. We aimed to test whether varenicline would reduce alcohol consumption and alcohol craving in humans.

Methods: This double-blind, placebo-controlled investigation examined the effect of varenicline (2 mg/day vs. placebo) on alcohol self-administration using an established laboratory paradigm in non-alcohol-dependent heavy drinkers (n = 20) who were daily smokers. Following 7 days of medication pretreatment, participants were first administered a priming dose of alcohol (.3 g/kg) and subjective, and physiologic responses were assessed. A 2-hour alcohol self-administration period followed during which participants could choose to consume up to 8 additional drinks (each .15 g/kg).

Results: Varenicline (5 ± SE = .40) significantly reduced the number of drinks consumed compared to placebo (2.60 ± SE = .93) and increased the likelihood of abstaining from any drinking during the self-administration period. Following the priming drink, varenicline attenuated alcohol craving and reduced subjective reinforcing alcohol effects (high, like, rush, feel good, intoxicated). Adverse events associated with varenicline were minimal and, when combined with alcohol, produced no significant effects on physiologic reactivity, mood, or nausea.

Conclusions: This preliminary investigation demonstrated that varenicline significantly reduced alcohol self-administration and was well tolerated, alone and in combination with alcohol in heavy-drinking smokers. Varenicline should be investigated as a potential treatment for alcohol use disorders.
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uate alcohol craving and that craving responses would be predictive of subsequent drinking behavior (29). Finally, we evaluated the safety and tolerability of varenicline, alone and in combination with alcohol in heavy-drinking smokers. This initial study excluded nonsmokers because the safety of administering varenicline to steady-state levels in this population was unknown.

Methods and Materials

Participants

Participants were eligible if they were ≥21 years and smoked ≥10 cigarettes per day. Women and men had to consume >7 or 14 drinks per week, and >3 or 4 drinks per episode at least once per week, respectively (35), in the previous 30 days. Exclusion criteria included alcohol dependence, illicit drug use (except for occasional cannabis use), past 30-day use of psychoactive drugs, treatment-seeking for alcohol or smoking, current Axis I disorders (except for nicotine dependence or alcohol abuse) (36), current suicidal or homicidal ideation, pregnant or nursing, or medical conditions contraindicating alcohol use (e.g., liver enzymes ≥3× normal) or varenicline administration (e.g., known allergy to varenicline).

Procedures

Eligibility Screening. The Human Investigation Committee of Yale University approved this study. Written informed consent was obtained at the start of the intake session. Physical examination included an electrocardiogram, urine toxicology, pregnancy test, and basic blood chemistries. Of the 55 potential participants who were screened, 6 declined to participate and 26 did not meet eligibility criteria related to illicit drug use (14) or smoking or drinking criteria (8), had medical contraindications (4), or were treatment seeking (1). Twenty-two individuals met eligibility criteria; one lost interest in the study before randomization, one lost interest after randomization. Twenty participants completed the study.

Medication. The medication condition was double-blind and placebo-controlled. Randomization to varenicline (2 mg/day) or a matching placebo (0 mg/day) was stratified by sex. Varenicline was titrated to steady-state levels over 7 days (0.5 mg daily for Days 1 and 2, 0.5 mg twice daily for Days 3–5, and 1 mg twice daily on Days 6 and 7) (37). Medication compliance (which ranged from 98% to 100%) was monitored with pill counts and riboflavin marker. Varenicline was titrated to steady-state levels over 7 days (.5 mg twice daily on Days 6 and 7) (18). Medication compliance (which ranged from 98% to 100%) was monitored with pill counts and riboflavin marker.

Laboratory Session. Each subject completed a 14-hour laboratory session conducted at the Yale Center for Clinical Investigation, New Haven, Connecticut. The laboratory procedures were similar to those used in our previous alcohol self-administration studies (30,33,34), which conform to guidelines for alcohol administration (38). Laboratory sessions started at 8:00 AM, and baseline assessments of breath alcohol, plasma cotinine and nicotine levels, urine drug screen, and urine pregnancy screen were obtained. The final dose of medication was provided at 9:00 AM. To ensure that participants were not nicotine deprived during the session, 15-min smoke breaks were provided at 10:00 AM, 12:00 PM, and 2:00 PM.

Primining Dose. The alcohol priming drink (.3 g/kg) (39) was administered from 3:00–3:05 PM and consisted of 1 part 80-proof liquor of the subject’s choosing to 3 parts mixer chosen from a selection of equicaloric, noncaffeinated, noncarbonated drinks.

Alcohol Self-Administration. Starting at 50 and 120 min following the priming drink, participants were exposed to two, 1-hour ad libitum drinking periods during which they were permitted to drink up to four alcoholic drinks (each .15 g/kg), or to receive monetary reinforcement ($3 per drink) for each drink not consumed. Participants were discharged at 10:00 PM at which time their breath alcohol levels had fallen below .02 g%.

Timing of Assessments. Blood alcohol level (BAL), alcohol craving (40), tobacco craving (41), and physiologic measures (systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, skin temperature) were assessed 15 min before and 10, 20, 30, 40, 80, 110, 150, and 180 min following the consumption of the priming drink. Subjective effects of alcohol (mean of high, like, rush, feel-good, intoxicated) (42), mood (43), nicotine withdrawal (44), and potential adverse effects (nauseous, dizzy, jittery) were assessed at baseline, 20, 40, 110, and 180 min following priming drink consumption.

Adverse Events

Adverse events were assessed in person on Days 1, 5, and 8 and by phone on Day 2 (45). Common varenicline side effects (>5% and twice the rate seen in placebo-treated patients for smoking cessation) include nausea, abnormal dreams, insomnia, constipation, flatulence, and vomiting (46).

Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics and frequency counts of total side effects were compared across medication (2 mg varenicline vs. 0 mg placebo) with either t tests or chi-square tests. Separate

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics by Medication Condition, Mean (SD) or n (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Varenicline (n = 10)</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>34.20 (12.08)</td>
<td>35.30 (12.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% male)</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicitya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic White</td>
<td>4 (40%)</td>
<td>9 (90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Black</td>
<td>4 (40%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>2 (20%)</td>
<td>1 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>4 (40%)</td>
<td>5 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>6 (60%)</td>
<td>5 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not married</td>
<td>7 (70%)</td>
<td>9 (90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>3 (30%)</td>
<td>1 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarettes per day</td>
<td>21.23 (8.02)</td>
<td>21.28 (8.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO levels (ppm)</td>
<td>27.70 (15.05)</td>
<td>35.20 (12.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine cotinine (ng/mL)</td>
<td>1629.10 (988.54)</td>
<td>1648.10 (921.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTND scoresb</td>
<td>5.10 (1.60)</td>
<td>5.30 (2.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly frequency (days)c</td>
<td>4.34 (1.63)</td>
<td>4.01 (1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinks per episoded</td>
<td>8.04 (4.69)</td>
<td>6.23 (3.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT scoresd</td>
<td>10.30 (4.19)</td>
<td>9.70 (4.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current DSM-IV alcohol abuse</td>
<td>5 (50%)</td>
<td>4 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime DSM-IV alcohol abuse</td>
<td>7 (70%)</td>
<td>8 (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependence</td>
<td>4 (40%)</td>
<td>2 (20%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUDIT, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; CO, breath carbon monoxide; FTND, Fagerström Nicotine Dependence.

a p < .05 chi-square. Participants in the placebo group were more likely to be non-Hispanic White (χ² = 6.26, p < .05).
bRange: scores 4 for nicotine dependence.
cMeans calculated over 30 days before intake.
dScores 8 for alcohol misuse.
repeated-measures analyses of variance (ANOVs) were conducted for the priming drink and self-administration periods to examine BALs, subjective measures, and physiologic measures across medication and within time. For the self-administration period, an ANOVA was conducted to examine the number of drinks consumed by medication based on our a priori hypothesis.

Results

Baseline Characteristics

Varenicline and placebo groups were well matched for baseline demographic variables, and smoking and drinking behavior with the exception of race/ethnicity (Table 1).

Pretreatment Period

During the medication titration period, rates of adverse events did not differ by medication (Table 2). All severity ratings were minimal or mild. No participants discontinued the study because of adverse events. There were no significant changes from baseline in alcohol or cigarette use during the 1-week drug titration period; however, a trend for reduced alcohol frequency was demonstrated (varenicline mean = –1.54 ± SE = .73 vs. placebo mean = –0.01 ± SE = .28, p = .07).

Priming Drink Period

Plasma nicotine, cotinine, and breath CO did not differ by medication.

Smoking Behavior. Over the course of the three smoking breaks, varenicline (mean = 3.78 ± SE = .38) significantly reduced the number of cigarettes smoked (p < .05) compared with placebo (mean = 5.39 ± SE = .59).

Blood Alcohol Levels. BALs demonstrated a significant quadratic effect of time (F = 35.04, p < .0005) but did not differ by medication. Maximum mean BALs achieved during the priming drink period did not differ across varenicline (0.021 g/dL ± SE = .003) or placebo conditions (0.021 g/dL ± SE = .002).

Subjective Measures. Alcohol craving increased following the priming drink in the placebo group but decreased in the varenicline group (F = 2.57, p < .05; Figure 1A). An effect of medication was demonstrated for subjective effects of alcohol (F = 4.53, p < .05; Figure 1B). Mood ratings and tobacco craving did not differ by medication. Nicotine withdrawal scores (overall mean = 2.18 out of possible 32, SE = .37) and adverse effects (nausea, dizzy, jittery) were minimal (all mean values <4 out of possible 100) and did not differ by medication.

Physiologic Measures. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, and skin temperature demonstrated significant effects of time (p < .05). Effects of medication or medication by time were not significant (Figure 2).

Ad-Libitum Drinking Period

Drinking Behavior. Varenicline (mean = .5 ± SE = .40) compared with placebo (mean = 2.60 ± SE = .9), significantly reduced the number of drinks consumed during the 2-hour self-administration period (F = 4.27, p < .05). The effect of medication on drinking was large (d = .97). Varenicline significantly increased the likelihood of remaining completely abstinent (χ² = 5.05, p < .03) with 8 of 10 varenicline versus 3 of 10 placebo participants declining all drinks. As predicted, alcohol craving was significantly associated with drinks consumed (Figure 3).

Blood Alcohol Levels. BALs decreased in the varenicline condition but continued to increase in the placebo condition mirroring drinking behavior (time × medication F = 2.31, p = .07). At the end of the 2-hour period, varenicline blood alcohol levels were .010 g/dL ± SE = .001 and placebo levels were .031 g/dL ± SE = .011.

Subjective Measures. Mean subjective alcohol effects were greater in the placebo (21.11 ± SE = 3.44) versus varenicline condition (10.61 ± SE = 3.44; F = 4.64, p < .05). Nicotine withdrawal scores, although remaining minimal, increased slightly in the placebo condition (F = 6.48, p < .05) but were not

Table 2. Counts and Severity Ratings of Treatment Emergent Symptoms* During 1-Week Medication Lead-in Period (Days 1–8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Varenicline 2 mg/day (n = 10)</th>
<th>Placebo 0 mg/day (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any Adverse Event</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>1 (minimal)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Difficulties</td>
<td>1 (minimal)</td>
<td>4 (minimal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal Dreams</td>
<td>1 (minimal)</td>
<td>1 (minimal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (minimal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatulence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (mild)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (minimal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal Dreams</td>
<td>1 (minimal)</td>
<td>1 (minimal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>1 (minimal)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Adverse Event</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*More than 5% and twice the rate seen in placebo-treated patients for smoking cessation; frequency counts do not differ by medication condition.
significantly associated with medication effects on drinking behavior. Alcohol and tobacco craving and mood did not differ by medication.

**Physiologic Measures.** Physiologic measures did not demonstrate any effects of medication during the self-administration period (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Using an established alcohol self-administration paradigm (30–34), we found that varenicline compared with placebo significantly reduced the number of drinks consumed by heavy-drinking smokers and increased the likelihood of remaining completely abstinent during the 2-hour self-administration period. This result is consistent with preclinical findings (29) examining ethanol seeking and choice and further supports a role for nAChR effects in alcohol consumption. Varenicline also attenuated alcohol craving and subjective reinforcing alcohol effects (e.g., intoxicated) following consumption of the priming drink. Moreover, alcohol craving in response to the priming drink was significantly associated with subsequent alcohol consumption, accounting for half of the variance in drinks consumed. The magnitude of the effect of varenicline on drinks consumed and craving responses is similar to what is demonstrated with naltrexone using an identical laboratory paradigm (30). Given that naltrexone has demonstrated efficacy for the treatment of alcohol use disorders (47), this suggests that the observed effects with varenicline have clinical relevance.

On the basis of these findings, we speculate that varenicline may reduce drinking in a similar manner to how it works for smoking: 1) by acting as a partial agonist at α4β2 receptors stimulating adequate levels of dopamine release to prevent alcohol craving and 2) by acting as a competitive antagonist blocking the effect of alcohol at nAChRs to augment dopamine levels further, thus inhibiting alcohol-related reinforcement, craving, and self-administration behavior. A recent microdialysis study (48) lends support to this hypothesized mechanism. Following 5 days of varenicline pretreatment, an acute injection of varenicline completely abolished the extracellular NA dopamine response to alcohol and nicotine coadministration. Additionally, it is possible that varenicline shifted the pharmacodynamic effect of the priming drink to the right. In contrast to the placebo condition, varenicline attenuated the ability of the priming drink to prompt further ad libitum consumption. Future work examining the mechanisms underlying these findings would further clarify nAChR effects on alcohol consumption.

Overall, varenicline was well tolerated in heavy-drinking smokers during this short-term laboratory study. Side effects experienced during the pretreatment week were minimal, consistent with those observed in smokers undergoing smoking cessation treatment, and rates did not differ between varenicline and placebo groups. When varenicline was combined with alcohol during the laboratory session, we observed no effect of medication on physiologic reactivity or mood ratings in response to the low-dose priming drink. Additionally, adverse effects
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consumed during the 2-hour self-administration period. The priming drink (assessed as area under the curve [AUC]) by total drinks was evaluated. Diseases related to tobacco use are the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in alcoholics (51), and the relative risk of alcohol abuse and mental illness.

Studies in heavy drinkers and alcohol-dependent drinkers who are nonsmokers are indicated. Although we selected the recommended dose for smoking cessation for this study, the dose may not be the optimal one to target drinking behavior. Preclinical investigations of the effect of varenicline on alcohol consumption (29) and dopamine response (48) have not demonstrated linear dose effects, supporting the need for a dose-ranging study.

In summary, our results suggest that the nAChR system holds promise as a medication target for alcohol use disorders. In heavy-drinking smokers, we found that varenicline significantly reduced drinking and increased the likelihood of remaining completely abstinent during the self-administration session. Reductions in drinking behavior were associated with attenuated craving responses following the consumption of the priming drink. Given that varenicline was found to be well tolerated, alone and in combination with alcohol, clinical trials examining varenicline as a primary treatment for alcohol use disorders and as a potential dual treatment for alcohol and tobacco use disorders should be pursued.
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Figure 3. Scatterplot with line of best fit for alcohol craving during the priming drink (assessed as area under the curve [AUC]) by total drinks consumed during the 2-hour self-administration period.


