



Published in final edited form as:

*Neuropharmacology*. 2009 ; 56(Suppl 1): 3–8. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2008.05.022.

## Imaging dopamine's role in drug abuse and addiction

N.D. Volkow<sup>\*</sup>, J.S. Fowler, G.J. Wang, R. Baler, and F. Telang

National Institute on Drug Abuse, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

### Abstract

Dopamine is involved in drug reinforcement but its role in addiction is less clear. Here we describe PET imaging studies that investigate dopamine's involvement in drug abuse in the human brain. In humans the reinforcing effects of drugs are associated with large and fast increases in extracellular dopamine, which mimic those induced by physiological dopamine cell firing but are more intense and protracted. Since dopamine cells fire in response to salient stimuli, supraphysiological activation by drugs is experienced as highly salient (driving attention, arousal, conditioned learning and motivation) and with repeated drug use may raise the thresholds required for dopamine cell activation and signaling. Indeed, imaging studies show that drug abusers have marked decreases in dopamine D2 receptors and in dopamine release. This decrease in dopamine function is associated with reduced regional activity in orbitofrontal cortex (involved in salience attribution; its disruption results in compulsive behaviors), cingulate gyrus (involved in inhibitory control; its disruption results in impulsivity) and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (involved in executive function; its disruption results in impaired regulation of intentional actions). In parallel, conditioning triggered by drugs leads to enhanced dopamine signaling when exposed to conditioned cues, which then drives the motivation to procure the drug in part by activation of prefrontal and striatal regions. These findings implicate deficits in dopamine activity—included with prefrontal and striatal deregulation—in the loss of control and compulsive drug intake that results when the addicted person takes the drugs or is exposed to conditioned cues. The decreased dopamine function in addicted individuals also reduces their sensitivity to natural reinforcers. Therapeutic interventions aimed at restoring brain dopaminergic tone and activity of cortical projection regions could improve prefrontal function, enhance inhibitory control and interfere with impulsivity and compulsive drug administration while helping to motivate the addicted person to engage in non-drug related behaviors.

### Keywords

Positron emission tomography; Orbitofrontal cortex; Cingulate gyrus; Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; Dopamine D2 receptors; Reward; Predisposition; Salience; Raclopride; Fluoro-deoxyglucose

### 1. Introduction

Drugs of abuse trigger large increases in extracellular dopamine (DA) in limbic regions (including nucleus accumbens; NAc) (Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988; Koob and Bloom, 1988), which are associated with their reinforcing effects. These effects mimic but surpass the DA increases secondary to phasic DA cell firing that play a physiological role in coding for saliency and reward (Schultz et al., 2000). Though some animal studies have questioned the extent to which DA increases in NAc are associated with reward (Drevets et al., 2001; Day et al., 2007), human imaging studies have shown that drug-induced increases in DA in the striatum (including the ventral striatum, where the NAc is located) are associated with subjective

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail address: E-mail: nvolkow@nida.nih.gov (N.D. Volkow).

descriptors of reward (high, euphoria) (Volkow et al., 1996a; Drevets et al., 2001). Nevertheless, it is also evident that the firing rate of DA cells encode not just reward (Tobler et al., 2007) and expectancy of reward (Volkow et al., 2003b) but also the *saliency* of a given event or stimulus (Rolls et al., 1984; Williams et al., 1993; Horvitz, 2000; Zink et al., 2003). The saliency of an event is driven either by its unexpectedness, its novelty, its conditioned expectations or its reinforcing effects (positive as well as negative) (Volkow et al., 2003, 2006b). Firing of DA cells, concomitant to the use of the drug will also facilitate the consolidation of memory traces connected to the drug. These, in turn, will trigger DA cells firing with future exposure to stimuli associated with the drug (in expectation of the reward) (Waelti et al., 2001). Because of DA's role in motivation, the DA increases associated with drug-cues or the drug itself are also likely to modulate the motivation to procure the reward (McClure et al., 2003).

The increase in knowledge regarding the multiple roles of DA in the reinforcement processes has led to more complex models of drug addiction. It is currently believed that drugs are reinforcing not just because they are pleasurable but because, by increasing DA, they are being processed as salient stimuli that will inherently motivate the procurement of more drug (regardless of whether the drug is consciously perceived as pleasurable or not).

Brain imaging techniques have contributed greatly to this new understanding. They have allowed us to measure neurochemical and metabolic processes in the living human brain (Volkow et al., 1997a), to investigate the nature of the changes in DA induced by drugs of abuse and their behavioral relevance, and to study the plastic changes in brain DA activity and its functional consequences in drug addicted subjects. This paper provides an updated review of relevant findings.

## 2. Drug-induced dopamine increases in the human brain and in reinforcement

The use of positron emission tomography (PET) and specific D2 DA receptor radioligands (e.g., [<sup>11</sup>C]raclopride, [<sup>18</sup>F]N-methylspiroperidol) has proven invaluable for the study of the relationships between a drug's ability to modulate DA and its reinforcing (i.e., euphorogenic, high-inducing, drug-liking) effects in the human brain. The approach has been used effectively to assess the effects of stimulant drugs (i.e., methylphenidate, amphetamine, cocaine) as well as those of nicotine (Barrett et al., 2004; Brody et al., 2004; Montgomery et al., 2007; Takahashi et al., 2007). Both the intravenous administration of methylphenidate (0.5 mg/kg), which like cocaine, increases DA by blocking DA transporters (DAT) as well as that of amphetamine (0.3 mg/kg), which like methamphetamine, increases DA by releasing it from the terminal via DAT, increase extracellular DA concentration in striatum and such increases are associated with self-reports of "high" and "euphoria" (Hemby et al., 1997; Villemagne et al., 1999). Interestingly, orally administered methylphenidate (0.75–1 mg/kg) also increased DA but is not typically perceived as reinforcing (Chait, 1994; Volkow et al., 2001b). Since intravenous administration leads to fast DA changes whereas oral administration increases DA slowly, the failure to observe the "high" with oral methylphenidate—or amphetamine (Stoops et al., 2007)—is likely to reflect the slower pharmacokinetics (Parasrampur et al., 2007). Indeed, the speed at which drugs of abuse enter the brain has been recognized as a key parameter affecting its reinforcing effects (Balster and Schuster, 1973; Volkow et al., 1995, 2000). Not surprisingly, the DA increases in ventral striatum induced after smoking, which has similarly very fast rate of brain uptake, are also associated with its reinforcing effects (Brody et al., 2004).

This link between fast brain uptake (leading to fast DA changes) and the reinforcing properties of a given drug suggests the involvement of phasic DA firing. The fast bursts (>30 Hz) generated by phasic release result in abrupt fluctuations in DA levels that contribute to highlight the saliency of a stimulus (Grace, 2000). Such a mechanism stands in contrast to tonic DA cell

firing (with slower frequencies of around 5 Hz), which is responsible for maintaining the baseline steady-state DA levels that set the DA system's responsiveness threshold. Therefore, we have proposed that drugs of abuse manage to induce changes in DA concentration that mimic, but greatly exceed, those produced by physiologic phasic DA cell firing. On the other hand the oral administration of stimulant drugs, which is the route used for therapeutic purposes is likely to induce slow DA changes that resemble those associated with tonic DA cell firing (Volkow and Swanson, 2003). Because stimulant drugs block DATs, which are the main mechanism for DA removal (Williams and Galli, 2006), they could—even when given orally—increase the reinforcing value of other reinforcers (natural or drug rewards) (Volkow et al., 2001b). Similarly, nicotine, which facilitates DA cell firing, also enhances the reinforcing value of stimuli with which it is paired. In the latter case the combination of nicotine with the natural reward becomes inextricably linked to its reinforcing effects.

### 3. Role of dopamine in the long-term effects of drugs of abuse on DA in the human brain: involvement in addiction

Synaptic increases in DA occur during drug intoxication in both addicted as well as non-addicted subjects (Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988; Koob and Bloom, 1988). However, only a minority of exposed subjects—the actual proportion being a function of the type of drug used—ever develops a compulsive drive to continue taking the drug (Schuh et al., 1996). This indicates that the acute drug-induced DA increase alone cannot explain the ensuing development of addiction. Because drug addiction requires chronic drug administration, it is likely to be rooted—in vulnerable individuals—in the repeated perturbation of the DA system, triggering neuro-adaptations in reward/saliency, motivation/drive, inhibitory control/executive function and memory/conditioning circuits, all of which are modulated by dopaminergic pathways (Volkow et al., 2003a).

Consistent with this line of thought, there is mounting evidence that exposure to stimulants, nicotine, or opiates produces persistent adaptive changes in the structure of dendrites and dendritic spines on cells in key areas of the brain with roles in motivation, reward, judgment, and the inhibitory control of behavior (Robinson and Kolb, 2004). For example, chronic adaptations in DA receptor signaling may trigger compensatory glutamate receptor responses with the potential to affect synaptic plasticity (Wolf et al., 2003). The fact that DA (Wolf et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2005), but also glutamate, GABA, and other neurotransmitters, are all highly versatile modulators of synaptic plasticity, draws a direct path connecting the effects of drugs of abuse with the adaptive alterations, not only in the reward center but also in many other circuits, through the strengthening, formation, and elimination of synapses.

Multiple radiotracers have been used to detect and measure these types of changes in targets within DA network in the human brain (Table 1). Using [<sup>18</sup>F]N-methylspiroperidol or [<sup>11</sup>C]raclopride we and others (Martinez et al., 2004, 2005, 2007) have shown that subjects addicted to a wide variety of drugs (cocaine, heroin, alcohol, and methamphetamine), exhibit significant reductions in D2 DA receptor availability in the striatum (including ventral striatum) that persist months after protracted detoxification (Volkow et al., 2007a). Similar findings were also recently reported in nicotine dependent subjects (Fehr et al., 2008).

It is also relevant to point out in this context that the striatal increases in DA induced by intravenous methylphenidate or intravenous amphetamine (assessed with [<sup>11</sup>C]raclopride) in cocaine abusers and alcoholics are at least 50% lower than in control subjects (Volkow et al., 1997b; Martinez et al., 2007). Since DA increases induced by methylphenidate are dependent on DA release—a function of DA cell firing—it is reasonable to hypothesize that the difference likely reflects decreased DA cell activity in these drug abusers.

It is important to keep in mind that the results of PET studies done with [<sup>11</sup>C]raclopride, which is sensitive to competition with endogenous DA, are merely a reflection of vacant D2 DA receptors available to bind to the tracer. Thus, any reduction in D2 DA receptor availability as measured with [<sup>11</sup>C]raclopride could reflect either decreases in levels of D2 DA receptors and/or increases in DA release (competing for binding with [<sup>11</sup>C]raclopride for the D2 receptors) in striatum (including NAc). However the fact that cocaine abusers when given i.v. MP showed blunted reductions in specific binding (indicative of decreased DA release) indicates that in cocaine abusers there is both a reduction in the levels of D2 receptors as well as a decrease in DA release in striatum. Each would contribute to the decreased sensitivity in addicted subjects to natural reinforcers (Volkow et al., 2002b). Because drugs are much more potent at stimulating DA-regulated reward circuits than natural reinforcers, drugs would still be able to activate the depressed reward circuits. This decreased sensitivity, on the other hand would result in a reduced interest for environmental stimuli, possibly predisposing subjects for seeking drug stimulation as a means to temporarily activate these reward circuits. As time progresses, the chronic nature of this behavior may explain the transition from taking drugs in order to feel “high” to taking them just to feel normal.

What are the metabolic and functional correlates of such long term drug-induced perturbation in dopaminergic balance? Using the PET radiotracer [<sup>18</sup>F]fluoro-deoxyglucose (FDG) that measures regional brain glucose metabolism, we and others have shown decreased activity in orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), cingulate gyrus (CG) and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) in addicted subjects (alcoholics, cocaine abusers, marijuana abusers) (London et al., 1990; Galynker et al., 2000; Ersche et al., 2006; Volkow et al., 2007a). Moreover, in cocaine (Volkow and Fowler, 2000) and methamphetamine (Volkow et al., 2001a) addicted subjects and in alcoholics (Volkow et al., 2007d), we have shown that the reduced activity in OFC, CG and DLPFC is associated with decreased availability of D2 DA receptors in striatum (see Fig. 1 for cocaine and methamphetamine results). Since the OFC, CG and DLPFC are involved with inhibitory control (Goldstein and Volkow, 2002) and with emotional processing (Phan et al., 2002), we had postulated that their abnormal regulation by DA in addicted subjects could underlie their loss of control over drug intake and their poor emotional self-regulation. Indeed, in alcoholics, reductions in D2 DA receptor availability in ventral striatum have been shown to be associated with alcohol craving severity and with greater cue-induced activation of the medial prefrontal cortex and anterior CG, as assessed with fMRI (Heinz et al., 2004). In addition, because damage to the OFC results in perseverative behaviors (Rolls, 2000)—and in humans impairments in OFC and CG are associated with obsessive compulsive behaviors (Saxena et al., 2002)—we have also postulated that DA impairment of these regions could underlie the compulsive drug intake that characterizes addiction (Volkow et al., 2005).

However, the association could also be interpreted to indicate that impaired activity in prefrontal regions could put individuals at risk for drug abuse and that only then the repeated drug use could result in the downregulation of D2 DA receptors.

DA also modulates the activity of the hippocampus, amygdala and dorsal striatum, which are regions implicated in memory, conditioning, and habit formation (Volkow et al., 2002a). Moreover, adaptations in these regions have been documented in preclinical models of drug abuse (Kauer and Malenka, 2007). Indeed, there is increasing recognition of the relevance and likely involvement of memory and learning mechanisms in drug addiction (Vanderschuren and Everitt, 2005). The effects of drugs of abuse on memory systems suggest ways that neutral stimuli can acquire reinforcing properties and motivational salience—that is, through conditioned-incentive learning. In research on relapse, it has been very important to understand why drug addicted subjects experience an intense desire for the drug when exposed to places where they have taken the drug, to people with whom prior drug use had occurred, and to paraphernalia used to administer the drug. This is clinically relevant since exposure to

conditioned cues (stimuli that had become strongly linked to the drug experience) is a key contributor to relapse. Since DA is involved with prediction of reward (Schultz, 2002), DA has been predicted to underlie the conditioned responses that trigger craving. Preclinical studies support this hypothesis: when neutral stimuli are paired with a drug, animals will—with repeated associations—acquire the ability to increase DA in NAc and dorsal striatum when exposed to the now conditioned cue. Predictably, these neurochemical responses have been found to be associated with drug-seeking behavior (Vanderschuren and Everitt, 2005).

In humans, PET studies with [ $^{11}\text{C}$ ]raclopride recently confirmed this hypothesis by showing that in cocaine abusers drug cues (cocaine-cue video of scenes of subjects taking cocaine) significantly increased DA in dorsal striatum, and that these increases were also associated with cocaine craving (Volkow et al., 2006c; Wong et al., 2006) in a cue-dependent fashion (Volkow et al., 2008). Because the dorsal striatum is implicated in habit learning, this association is likely to reflect the strengthening of habits as chronicity of addiction develops. This suggests that the DA-triggered conditioned responses that form, first habits and then compulsive drug consumption, may reflect a fundamental neurobiological perturbation in addiction. It is likely that these conditioned responses involve adaptations in cortico-striatal glutamatergic pathways that regulate DA release (Vanderschuren and Everitt, 2005).

To assess if cue-induced DA increases reflect a primary or a secondary response to the cue a recent imaging study in cocaine addicted subjects evaluated the effects of increasing DA (achieved by oral administration of methylphenidate), with and without the cue, in an attempt to determine whether DA increases by themselves could induce craving. The results of the study revealed a clear dissociation between oral methylphenidate-induced DA increases and cue-associated cravings (Volkow et al., 2008) suggesting that cue-induced DA increases are not the primary effectors but rather reflect downstream stimulation of DA cells (cortico-striatal glutamatergic pathways that regulate DA release; Kalivas and Volkow, 2005). This observation further illuminates the subtle effects of DA firing rate upon addiction circuitry, for the failure of methylphenidate-induced DA increases to induce craving in this paradigm could be explained by the slow nature of the DA increases. On the other hand, fast DA changes as triggered by phasic DA cell firing—as a secondary response to the activation of descending pathways—may underlie the successful induction of cravings with exposure to a cue. It is worth highlighting, that Martinez et al. reported a negative correlation between the DA increases induced by intravenous amphetamine in cocaine abusers and their choice of cocaine over money when tested on a separate paradigm (Martinez et al., 2007). That is, the subjects that showed the lower DA increases when given amphetamine were the ones more likely to select cocaine over a monetary reinforcer. Because in their studies they also reported reduced DA increases in cocaine abusers when compared with controls this could indicate that cocaine abusers with the most severe decreases in brain dopaminergic activity are the ones more likely to choose cocaine over other reinforcers.

#### 4. DA and vulnerability to drug abuse

Understanding why some individuals are more vulnerable to becoming addicted to drugs than others remains one of the most challenging questions in drug abuse research. In healthy non-drug abusing controls we showed that D2 DA receptor availability in the striatum modulated their subjective responses to the stimulant drug methylphenidate. Subjects describing the experience as pleasant had significantly lower levels of receptors compared with those describing methylphenidate as unpleasant (Volkow et al., 1999, 2002c). This suggests that the relationship between DA levels and reinforcing responses follows an inverted u-shaped curve: too little is suboptimal for reinforcement while too much may become aversive. Thus, high D2 DA receptor levels could protect against drug self administration. Support for this is provided by preclinical studies, which showed that higher levels of D2 DA receptors in NAc significantly



reduced alcohol intake in animals previously trained to self-administer alcohol (Thanos et al., 2001) and the tendency of group-housed cynomolgus macaques to self-administer cocaine (Morgan et al., 2002), and by clinical studies showing that subjects who despite having a dense family history of alcoholism were not alcoholics had significantly higher D2 DA receptors in striatum than individuals without such family histories (Volkow et al., 2006a). The higher the D2 DA receptors in these subjects, the higher their metabolism in OFC and CG. Thus we can postulate that high levels of D2 DA receptors may protect against alcoholism by modulating frontal circuits involved in salience attribution and inhibitory control.

On the other end of the spectrum, we have found evidence of depressed dopamine activity in specific brain regions of adults with ADHD compared to controls. Deficiencies were seen at the level of both D2 DA receptors and DA release in the caudate (Volkow et al., 2007b) and in the ventral striatum (Volkow et al., 2007c). And, consistent with the current model, the depressed DA phenotype was associated with higher scores on self-reports of methylphenidate liking (Volkow et al., 2007b). Interestingly, if left untreated, individuals with ADHD have a high risk for substance abuse disorders (Elkins et al., 2007).

Finally, sex differences in addictive disorders have been observed repeatedly, and it would be reasonable to ask whether imaging studies could substantiate the preclinical evidence suggesting such differences are due in part to striatal DA system differences and/or whether they result from differences in activity of prefrontal regions (Koch et al., 2007). Indeed, recent studies have documented sexually dimorphic patterns of amphetamine-induced striatal DA release (Munro et al., 2006; Riccardi et al., 2006) that could impact substance abuse vulnerability differently in men and women; although the data do not permit at this point a clear cut conclusion as to whether men or women display greater DA responses. It is also likely that the patterns will be sensitive to experimental conditions, such as context, age and stage of menstrual cycle.

When combined, these observations provide critical insight into the striatal DA's system contribution to addiction vulnerability, to the emergence of frequent psychiatric comorbid pairings, and to the observed sexually dimorphic patterns of substance abuse.

## 5. Treatment implications

Imaging studies have corroborated the role of DA in the reinforcing effects of drugs of abuse in humans and have extended traditional views of DA involvement in drug addiction. These findings suggest multiprong strategies for the treatment of drug addiction that should attempt to (a) decrease the reward value of the drug of choice and increase the reward value of non-drug reinforcers; (b) weaken conditioned drug behaviors, and the motivational drive to take the drug; and (c) strengthen frontal inhibitory and executive control. Not discussed in this review is the critical involvement of circuits that regulate emotions and response to stress (Koob and Le Moal, 1997) as well as those responsible for interoceptive perception of needs and desires (Gray and Critchley, 2007), which are also potential targets for therapeutic interventions.

## References

- Balster RL, Schuster CR. Fixed-interval schedule of cocaine reinforcement: effect of dose and infusion duration. *J. Exp. Anal. Behav* 1973;20:119–129. [PubMed: 4197505]
- Barrett SP, Boileau I, Okker J, Pihl RO, Dagher A. The hedonic response to cigarette smoking is proportional to dopamine release in the human striatum as measured by positron emission tomography and [<sup>11</sup>C]raclopride. *Synapse* 2004;54:65–71. [PubMed: 15352131]
- Brody AL, Olmstead RE, London ED, et al. Smoking-induced ventral striatum dopamine release. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2004;161:1211–1218. [PubMed: 15229053]

- Chait LD. Reinforcing and subjective effects of methylphenidate in humans. *Behav. Pharmacol* 1994;5:281–288. [PubMed: 11224277]
- Chang L, Alicata D, Ernst T, Volkow N. Structural and metabolic brain changes in the striatum associated with methamphetamine abuse. *Addiction* 2007;102:16–32. [PubMed: 17493050]
- Day JJ, Roitman MF, Wightman RM, Carelli RM. Associative learning mediates dynamic shifts in dopamine signaling in the nucleus accumbens. *Nat. Neurosci* 2007;10:1020–1028. [PubMed: 17603481]
- Di Chiara G, Imperato A. Drugs abused by humans preferentially increase synaptic dopamine concentrations in the mesolimbic system of freely moving rats. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 1988;85:5274–5278. [PubMed: 2899326]
- Drevets WC, Gautier C, Price JC, et al. Amphetamine-induced dopamine release in human ventral striatum correlates with euphoria. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2001;49:81–96. [PubMed: 11164755]
- Elkins IJ, McGue M, Iacono WG. Prospective effects of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, conduct disorder, and sex on adolescent substance use and abuse. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 2007;64:1145–1152. [PubMed: 17909126]
- Ersche KD, Fletcher PC, Roiser JP, et al. Differences in orbitofrontal activation during decision-making between methadone-maintained opiate users, heroin users and healthy volunteers. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)* 2006;188:364–373. [PubMed: 16953385]
- Fehr C, Yakushev I, Hohmann N, et al. Association of low striatal dopamine D2 receptor availability with nicotine dependence similar to that seen with other drugs of abuse. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2008;165:507–514. [PubMed: 18316420]
- Fowler JS, Logan J, Wang GJ, Volkow ND. Monoamine oxidase and cigarette smoking. *Neurotoxicology* 2003;24:75–82. [PubMed: 12564384]
- Galynter II, Watras-Ganz S, Miner C, et al. Cerebral metabolism in opiate-dependent subjects: effects of methadone maintenance. *Mt. Sinai J. Med* 2000;67:381–387. [PubMed: 11064488]
- Goldstein RZ, Volkow ND. Drug addiction and its underlying neurobiological basis: neuroimaging evidence for the involvement of the frontal cortex. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2002;159:1642–1652. [PubMed: 12359667]
- Grace AA. The tonic/phasic model of dopamine system regulation and its implications for understanding alcohol and psychostimulant craving. *Addiction* 2000;95:S119–S128. [PubMed: 11002907]
- Gray MA, Critchley HD. interoceptive basis to craving. *Neuron* 2007;54:183–186. [PubMed: 17442239]
- Heinz A, Siessmeier T, Wrase J, et al. Correlation between dopamine D(2) receptors in the ventral striatum and central processing of alcohol cues and craving. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2004;161:1783–1789. [PubMed: 15465974]
- Heinz A, Siessmeier T, Wrase J, et al. Correlation of alcohol craving with striatal dopamine synthesis capacity and D2/3 receptor availability: a combined [18F]DOPA and [18F]DMFP PET study in detoxified alcoholic patients. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2005;162:1515–1520. [PubMed: 16055774]
- Hemby, SE.; Johnson, BA.; Dworkin, SI. *Neurobiological Basis of Drug Reinforcement*. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1997.
- Hietala J, West C, Syvalahti E, et al. Striatal D2 dopamine receptor binding characteristics in vivo in patients with alcohol dependence. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)* 1994;116:285–290. [PubMed: 7892418]
- Horvitz JC. Mesolimbocortical and nigrostriatal dopamine responses to salient non-reward events. *Neuroscience* 2000;96:651–656. [PubMed: 10727783]
- Kalivas PW, Volkow ND. The neural basis of addiction: a pathology of motivation and choice. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2005;162:1403–1413. [PubMed: 16055761]
- Kauer JA, Malenka RC. Synaptic plasticity and addiction. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci* 2007;8:844–858. [PubMed: 17948030]
- Koch K, Pauly K, Kellermann T, et al. Gender differences in the cognitive control of emotion: An fMRI study. *Neuropsychologia* 2007;45:2744–2754. [PubMed: 17544015]
- Koob GF, Bloom FE. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of drug dependence. *Science* 1988;242:715–723. [PubMed: 2903550]


- Koob GF, Le Moal M. Drug abuse: hedonic homeostatic dysregulation. *Science* 1997;278:52–58. [PubMed: 9311926]
- Laine TP, Ahonen A, Torniaainen P, et al. Dopamine transporters increase in human brain after alcohol withdrawal. *Mol. Psychiatry* 1999;4:189–191. 104–105. [PubMed: 10208452]
- Liu QS, Pu L, Poo MM. Repeated cocaine exposure in vivo facilitates LTP induction in midbrain dopamine neurons. *Nature* 2005;437:1027–1031. [PubMed: 16222299]
- London ED, Cascella NG, Wong DF, et al. Cocaine-induced reduction of glucose utilization in human brain. A study using positron emission tomography and [fluorine 18]-fluorodeoxyglucose. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 1990;47:567–574. [PubMed: 2350209]
- Malison RT, Best SE, van Dyck CH, et al. Elevated striatal dopamine transporters during acute cocaine abstinence as measured by [123I] beta-CIT SPECT. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 1998;155:832–834. [PubMed: 9619159]
- Martinez D, Broft A, Foltin RW, et al. Cocaine dependence and D2 receptor availability in the functional subdivisions of the striatum: relationship with cocaine-seeking behavior. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2004;29:1190–1202. [PubMed: 15010698]
- Martinez D, Gil R, Slifstein M, et al. Alcohol dependence is associated with blunted dopamine transmission in the ventral striatum. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2005;58:779–786. [PubMed: 16018986]
- Martinez D, Narendran R, Foltin RW, et al. Amphetamine-induced dopamine release: markedly blunted in cocaine dependence and predictive of the choice to self-administer cocaine. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2007;164:622–629. [PubMed: 17403976]
- McClure SM, Daw ND, Montague PR. A computational substrate for incentive salience. *Trends Neurosci* 2003;26:423–428. [PubMed: 12900173]
- Montgomery AJ, Lingford-Hughes AR, Egerton A, Nutt DJ, Grasby PM. The effect of nicotine on striatal dopamine release in man: A [11C]raclopride PET study. *Synapse* 2007;61:637–645. [PubMed: 17492764]
- Morgan D, Grant KA, Gage HD, et al. Social dominance in monkeys: dopamine D2 receptors and cocaine self-administration. *Nat. Neurosci* 2002;5:169–174. [PubMed: 11802171]
- Munro CA, McCaul ME, Wong DF, et al. Sex differences in striatal dopamine release in healthy adults. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2006;59:966–974. [PubMed: 16616726]
- Parasrampur DA, Schoedel KA, Schuller R, et al. Assessment of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamic effects related to abuse potential of a unique oral osmotic-controlled extended-release methylphenidate formulation in humans. *J. Clin. Pharmacol* 2007;47:1476–1488. [PubMed: 17962423]
- Phan KL, Wager T, Taylor SF, Liberzon I. Functional neuroanatomy of emotion: a meta-analysis of emotion activation studies in PET and fMRI. *Neuroimage* 2002;16:331–348. [PubMed: 12030820]
- Riccardi P, Zald D, Li R, et al. Sex differences in amphetamine-induced displacement of [(18)F]fallypride in striatal and extrastriatal regions: a PET study. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2006;163:1639–1641. [PubMed: 16946193]
- Robinson TE, Kolb B. Structural plasticity associated with exposure to drugs of abuse. *Neuropharmacology* 2004;47:33–46. [PubMed: 15464124]
- Rolls ET. The orbitofrontal cortex and reward. *Cereb Cortex* 2000;10:284–294. [PubMed: 10731223]
- Rolls ET, Thorpe SJ, Boytim M, Szabo I, Perrett DI. Responses of striatal neurons in the behaving monkey. 3. Effects of iontophoretically applied dopamine on normal responsiveness. *Neuroscience* 1984;12:1201–1212. [PubMed: 6148716]
- Saxena S, Brody AL, Ho ML, et al. Differential cerebral metabolic changes with paroxetine treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder vs major depression. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 2002;59:250–261. [PubMed: 11879163]
- Schlaepfer TE, Pearlson GD, Wong DF, Marengo S, Dannals RF. PET study of competition between intravenous cocaine and [11C]raclopride at dopamine receptors in human subjects. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 1997;154:1209–1213. [PubMed: 9286178]
- Schuh LM, Schuh KJ, Henningfield JE. Pharmacologic Determinants of Tobacco Dependence. *Am. J. Ther* 1996;3:335–341. [PubMed: 11862269]
- Schultz W. Getting formal with dopamine and reward. *Neuron* 2002;36:241–263. [PubMed: 12383780]



- Schultz W, Tremblay L, Hollerman JR. Reward processing in primate orbitofrontal cortex and basal ganglia. *Cereb. Cortex* 2000;10:272–284. [PubMed: 10731222]
- Sevy S, Smith GS, Ma Y, et al. Cerebral glucose metabolism and D(2)/D(3) receptor availability in young adults with cannabis dependence measured with positron emission tomography. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)* 2008;197:549–556. [PubMed: 18270689]
- Stoops WW, Vansickel AR, Lile JA, Rush CR. Acute d-amphetamine pretreatment does not alter stimulant self-administration in humans. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav* 2007;87:20–29. [PubMed: 17490738]
- Takahashi H, Fujimura Y, Hayashi M, et al. Enhanced dopamine release by nicotine in cigarette smokers: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled pilot study. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol* 2007;1–5. [PubMed: 17470315]
- Thanos PK, Volkow ND, Freimuth P, et al. Overexpression of dopamine D2 receptors reduces alcohol self-administration. *J. Neurochem* 2001;78:1094–1103. [PubMed: 11553683]
- Tobler PN, O’Doherty JP, Dolan RJ, Schultz W. Reward value coding distinct from risk attitude-related uncertainty coding in human reward systems. *J. Neurophysiol* 2007;97:1621–1632. [PubMed: 17122317]
- Vanderschuren LJ, Everitt BJ. Behavioral and neural mechanisms of compulsive drug seeking. *Eur. J. Pharmacol* 2005;526:77–88. [PubMed: 16310768]
- Villemagne VL, Wong DF, Yokoi F, et al. GBR12909 attenuates amphetamine-induced striatal dopamine release as measured by [(11)C]raclopride continuous infusion PET scans. *Synapse* 1999;33:268–273. [PubMed: 10421707]
- Volkow ND, Fowler JS. Addiction, a disease of compulsion and drive: involvement of the orbitofrontal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 2000;10:318–325. [PubMed: 10731226]
- Volkow ND, Swanson JM. Variables that affect the clinical use and abuse of methylphenidate in the treatment of ADHD. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2003;160:1909–1918. [PubMed: 14594733]
- Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang GJ, et al. Decreased dopamine D2 receptor availability is associated with reduced frontal metabolism in cocaine abusers. *Synapse* 1993;14:169–177. [PubMed: 8101394]
- Volkow ND, Ding YS, Fowler JS, et al. Is methylphenidate like cocaine? Studies on their pharmacokinetics and distribution in the human brain. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 1995;52:456–463. [PubMed: 7771915]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, et al. Relationship between psychostimulant-induced “high” and dopamine transporter occupancy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 1996a;93:10388–10392. [PubMed: 8816810]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, et al. Cocaine uptake is decreased in the brain of detoxified cocaine abusers. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1996b;14:159–168. [PubMed: 8866699]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, et al. Decreases in dopamine receptors but not in dopamine transporters in alcoholics. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res* 1996c;20:1594–1598. [PubMed: 8986209]
- Volkow ND, Rosen B, Farde L. Imaging the living human brain: magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 1997a;94:2787–2788. [PubMed: 9096295]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, et al. Decreased striatal dopaminergic responsiveness in detoxified cocaine-dependent subjects. *Nature* 1997b;386:830–833. [PubMed: 9126741]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, et al. Prediction of reinforcing responses to psychostimulants in humans by brain dopamine D2 receptor levels. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 1999;156:1440–1443. [PubMed: 10484959]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Fischman MW, et al. Effects of route of administration on cocaine-induced dopamine transporter blockade in the human brain. *Life Sci* 2000;67:1507–1515. [PubMed: 10983846]
- Volkow ND, Chang L, Wang GJ, et al. Low level of brain dopamine D2 receptors in methamphetamine abusers: association with metabolism in the orbitofrontal cortex. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2001a;158:2015–2021. [PubMed: 11729018]
- Volkow ND, Wang G, Fowler JS, et al. Therapeutic doses of oral methylphenidate significantly increase extracellular dopamine in the human brain. *J. Neurosci* 2001b;21:RC121. [PubMed: 11160455]

- Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang GJ, Goldstein RZ. Role of dopamine, the frontal cortex and memory circuits in drug addiction: insight from imaging studies. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem* 2002a;78:610–624. [PubMed: 12559839]
- Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang GJ. Role of dopamine in drug reinforcement and addiction in humans: results from imaging studies. *Behav. Pharmacol* 2002b;13:355–366. [PubMed: 12394411]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Fowler JS, et al. Brain DA D2 receptors predict reinforcing effects of stimulants in humans: replication study. *Synapse* 2002c;46:79–82. [PubMed: 12211085]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Maynard L, et al. Effects of alcohol detoxification on dopamine D2 receptors in alcoholics: a preliminary study. *Psychiatry Res* 2002d;116:163–172. [PubMed: 12477600]
- Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang GJ. The addicted human brain: insights from imaging studies. *J. Clin. Invest* 2003a;111:1444–1451. [PubMed: 12750391]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Ma Y, et al. Expectation enhances the regional brain metabolic and the reinforcing effects of stimulants in cocaine abusers. *J. Neurosci* 2003b;23:11461–11468. [PubMed: 14673011]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Ma Y, et al. Activation of orbital and medial prefrontal cortex by methylphenidate in cocaine-addicted subjects but not in controls: relevance to addiction. *J. Neurosci* 2005;25:3932–3939. [PubMed: 15829645]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Begleiter H, et al. High levels of dopamine D2 receptors in unaffected members of alcoholic families: possible protective factors. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 2006a;63:999–1008. [PubMed: 16953002]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Ma Y, et al. Effects of expectation on the brain metabolic responses to methylphenidate and to its placebo in non-drug abusing subjects. *Neuroimage* 2006b;32:1782–1792. [PubMed: 16757181]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Telang F, et al. Cocaine cues and dopamine in dorsal striatum: mechanism of craving in cocaine addiction. *J. Neurosci* 2006c;26:6583–6588. [PubMed: 16775146]
- Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang GJ, Swanson JM, Telang F. Dopamine in drug abuse and addiction: results of imaging studies and treatment implications. *Arch. Neurol* 2007a;64:1575–1579. [PubMed: 17998440]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Newcorn J, et al. Depressed dopamine activity in caudate and preliminary evidence of limbic involvement in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 2007b;64:932–940. [PubMed: 17679638]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Newcorn J, et al. Brain dopamine transporter levels in treatment and drug naive adults with ADHD. *Neuroimage* 2007c;34:1182–1190. [PubMed: 17126039]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Telang F, et al. Profound decreases in dopamine release in striatum in detoxified alcoholics: possible orbitofrontal involvement. *J. Neurosci* 2007d;27:12700–12706. [PubMed: 18003850]
- Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Telang F, et al. Dopamine increases in striatum do not elicit craving in cocaine abusers unless they are coupled with cocaine cues. *Neuroimage* 2008;39:1266–1273. [PubMed: 18024160]
- Waelti P, Dickinson A, Schultz W. Dopamine responses comply with basic assumptions of formal learning theory. *Nature* 2001;412:43–48. [PubMed: 11452299]
- Wang GJ, Volkow ND, Fowler JS, et al. Dopamine D2 receptor availability in opiate-dependent subjects before and after naloxone-precipitated withdrawal. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1997;16:174–182. [PubMed: 9015800]
- Williams JM, Galli A. The dopamine transporter: a vigilant border control for psychostimulant action. *Handb. Exp. Pharmacol* 2006:215–232. [PubMed: 16722238]
- Williams GV, Rolls ET, Leonard CM, Stern C. Neuronal responses in the ventral striatum of the behaving macaque. *Behav. Brain Res* 1993;55:243–252. [PubMed: 8395182]
- Wolf ME, Mangiavacchi S, Sun X. Mechanisms by which dopamine receptors may influence synaptic plasticity. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci* 2003;1003:241–249. [PubMed: 14684450]
- Wong DF, Kuwabara H, Schretlen DJ, et al. Increased occupancy of dopamine receptors in human striatum during cue-elicited cocaine craving. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2006;31:2716–2727. [PubMed: 16971900]
- Wu JC, Bell K, Najafi A, et al. Decreasing striatal 6-FDOPA uptake with increasing duration of cocaine withdrawal. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1997;17:402–409. [PubMed: 9397428]

- Yang YK, Yao WJ, Yeh TL, et al. Decreased dopamine transporter availability in male smokers—a dual isotope SPECT study. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2008;32:274–279. [PubMed: 17900774]
- Zink CF, Pagnoni G, Martin ME, Dhamala M, Berns GS. Human striatal response to salient nonrewarding stimuli. *J. Neurosci* 2003;23:8092–8097. [PubMed: 12954871]



**Fig. 1.** (A) Normalized volume distribution of [ $^{11}\text{C}$ ]raclopride binding in the striatum of cocaine and methamphetamine abusers and non-drug-abusing comparison subjects. (B) Correlation of DA receptor availability ( $B_{\text{max}}/K_d$ ) in the striatum with measures of metabolic activity in the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) in cocaine (closed diamonds) and methamphetamine (open diamonds) abusers. Modified with permission based on Volkow et al. (1993, 2001a).

**Table 1**

Summary of PET findings comparing various targets involved in DA neurotransmission between substance abusers and control subjects for which statistically significant differences between the groups were identified

Target investigated	Drug used	Finding	Reference
D2 DA receptors	Cocaine	↓ Acute withdrawal	Volkow et al., 1993
		↓ Detoxified	Volkow et al., 1993, 1996b
	Alcohol	↓ 1–68 week abstinence	Hietala et al., 1994
		↓ Detoxified	Volkow et al., 2002d
	Methamphetamine	↓ Detoxified	Volkow et al., 2001a
	Heroin	↓ Active user	Wang et al., 1997
	Nicotine	↓ Active user	Fehr et al., 2008
Cannabis	0 Detoxified	Sevy et al., 2008	
DA transporters	Cocaine	↑ 4 weeks abstinence	Malison et al., 1998
		0 Detoxified	Volkow et al., 1996b
		↓ Acute withdrawal	Laine et al., 1999
	Alcohol	0 Detoxified	Volkow et al., 1996c
		↓ Detoxified	Chang et al., 2007
	Methamphetamine	↓ Active user	Yang et al., 2008
Vesicular monoamine transporters-2	Methamphetamine	↓ Detoxified	Chang et al., 2007
		↓ Detoxified	Chang et al., 2007
Metabolism (MAOB)	Cigarettes	↓ Active user	Fowler et al., 2003
Synthesis (dopa decarboxylase)	Cocaine	↓ Detoxified	Wu et al., 1997
	Alcohol	0 Detoxified	Heinz et al., 2005
DA release	Cocaine	↑ Active user	Schlaepfer et al., 1997
		↓ Detoxified	Volkow et al., 1997b
	Alcohol	↓ Detoxified	Volkow et al., 2007d

Modified and updated with permission based on Volkow et al. (2007a).